

ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT 2018

INTERREG ATLANTIC AREA PROGRAMME 2014-2020

Managing Authority/ Joint Secretariat

June 2019

SUMMARY

PART A - DATA REQUIRED EVERY YEAR ('LIGHT REPORTS') (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013).....	3
1. IDENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL/FINAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT	3
2. OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(3)(a) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013).....	3
3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)	5
3.1 Overview of the implementation.....	5
3.2 Common and programme specific indicators (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)	8
3.3 Milestones and targets defined in the performance framework (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013) — submitted in annual implementation reports from 2017 onward ..	32
3.4. Financial data (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)	33
4. SYNTHESIS OF THE EVALUATIONS (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)	57
5. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN (article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)	60
6. CITIZEN'S SUMMARY (Article 50(9) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)	61
7. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (Article 46 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)	61
8. PROGRESS IN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND JOINT ACTION PLANS (Article 101(h) and Article 111(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(3)(b) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013).....	61
PART B - REPORTING SUBMITTED IN YEARS 2017, 2019 AND FINAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013).....	64
9. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)	64
9.1 Information in Part A and achieving the objectives of the programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013).....	64
9.2. Specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, in particular accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (d) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013).....	66
9.3. Sustainable development (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (e) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)	67
9.4. Reporting on support used for climate change objectives (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)	68

9.5 Role of partners in the implementation of the cooperation programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 1, (c) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)	69
10. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1 (a) AND (b), OF REGULATION (EU) No 1299/2013	70
10.1 Progress in implementation of the evaluation plan and the follow-up given to the findings of evaluations.....	70
10.2 The results of the information and publicity measures of the Funds carried out under the communication strategy	71
11. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (Article 14(4), subparagraph 2 (a), (b), (c) and (f), of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)	73
11.1 Progress in implementation of the integrated approach to territorial development, including integrated territorial investments, sustainable urban development, and community-led local development under the cooperation programme	73
11.2 Progress in implementation of actions to reinforce the capacity of authorities and beneficiaries to administer and to use the ERDF	74
11.3 Contribution to macro-regional and sea basin strategies (where appropriate)	74
11.4 Progress in the implementation of actions in the field of social innovation.....	79
PART C - REPORTING SUBMITTED IN YEAR 2019 AND FINAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (Article 50(5) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)	82
13. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH	82
14. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN — PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)	82

PART A - DATA REQUIRED EVERY YEAR ('LIGHT REPORTS') (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)

1. IDENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL/FINAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT

CCI	2014TC16RFTN002
Title	Interreg Atlantic Area
Version	2018.0
Date of approval of the report by the monitoring committee	

2. OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(3)(a) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

Key information on the implementation of the cooperation programme for the year concerned, including on financial instruments, with relation to the financial and indicator data.

In 2018 the Atlantic Area (AA) programme featured several achievements. The 1st semester was dedicated to the finalisation of the contracting process of the 45 approved projects of the 1st call, which was organised in 2 steps. The Joint Secretariat (JS) provided guidance and the necessary documentation throughout the process. Some projects organised their kick-off or second meetings, counting with the participation of the JS and representatives of the Member States (MS).

The Managing Authority (MA) and the JS organized a dedicated session to beneficiaries in Porto, CCDRN, February. During March/April, in all MS participating in the programme, a JS staff member attended local seminars and workshops, jointly organized with National Correspondents (NC), to provide support to the beneficiaries and the First Level Controllers (FLC).

A comprehensive "Applicant's User Guide" was elaborated to provide full explanations to beneficiaries on how to fill in a progress report and payment claim.

The programme also featured the approval of the projects under the 2nd call of proposals, which was organised in a one-step procedure. The MA and the JS took the opportunity to improve the programme manual in March before this new call.

The call was open from 15 March to 13 June 2018 and 115 proposals were submitted, out of which 96 were considered eligible. A launching event was organised and web streamed in Porto on 7 May 2018 (120 participants).

The Presidency of the programme Monitoring Committee (MC) was under the responsibility of the United Kingdom, the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government. The programme organised 2 MC meetings. The 1st one was organised on 21 June in Belfast, mainly to discuss the programme state-of-play, N+3, performance target and programme indicators.

The second MC meeting took place on 16-18 October in Cardiff. 27 projects were approved and overall 46 706 606€ (34.72%) of the ERDF 2014-2020 funding was committed. The selected projects for this call have an average budget of around 2.3 M€ ERDF and partners from the 5 MS sharing the programme were involved. Partners from countries outside the eligible area (Belgium, Finland, and Switzerland) also participated, for a total of 260 partners and 138 associated partners. The average (median) number of partners per partnership is 15 (13).

After the 2nd call, the available ERDF budget of the programme was fully committed to strategic projects, which was considered vital by the MC to face the uncertainties associated with Brexit, and also to facilitate the full implementation of the programme in the following years. The programme has a total of 726 beneficiaries.

Efforts kept being taken to the development of the integrated information system (SIGI) to implement

the projects of the 1st call and, at the same time, adapt the system to a one step procedure for the 2nd call. 34 projects presented their 1st progress reports through the SIGI in 2018.

The programme complied with the financial targets by submitting sufficient payment applications by the end of 2018 (N+3 rule). Around 2.78 M€ were certified and submitted to the EC.

In what concerns the performance framework, the programme met the financial milestones (cf. Approved Cooperation Programme, revised version approved by the EC on 19 December 2018) except for priority axis (PA) 1, where the achievement was 89%. For physical indicators, milestones were exceeded in all PA, with the deviation being very significant for PA1. This large deviation is due to a sub estimation of these outputs, which was based on the history of the previous programmatic period.

The JS and the MA organised 4 events and participated in 12 organized by relevant stakeholders, being present in 3 stands exhibitions (European Week of Cities and Regions in Brussels (EWRC), 5th Atlantic Stakeholder in Vigo and Business2Sea Forum in Porto) and 1 workshop (5th Atlantic Stakeholder Forum); in 8 of these events the MA and the JS participated as speakers. The JS also participated in 3 project kick-off meetings. The MA and the JS have been involved in 3 network meetings promoted by Interact to discuss future post 2020 options for European Territorial Cooperation (ETC) programmes. The annual event of the programme took place in Vigo on 20 October, on the occasion of the 5th Atlantic Stakeholder forum and attracted 190 participants. The JS and the MA have also actively participated in transnational programmes' meetings to discuss future post-2020 options for ETC programmes; in training seminars; at the *Interreg Annual Meeting* on 18-19 June 2018 in Brussels, and at the EWRC on 8-11 October.

Communication activities were focused on disseminating information to potential applicants and beneficiaries, using diverse tools to widely promote programme priorities and financing opportunities. The programme participated in the edition of two European publications "10 things to know about transnational cooperation", elaborated together with other Interreg programmes and the Interact E-Book "Connecting Cultures, Connected Citizens".

NC have been actively organizing and participating in events and meetings to reinforce the communication of the programme. The "Ministerio de Hacienda y Función Pública", the Spanish NC, participated in 2 regional forums held in Andalucía and in the Balears Islands, where the programme was presented. A financial seminar for the beneficiaries and FLC was organised on 10 April as well as an informative session for the 2nd call on 9 March in Madrid. During the national congress on environment, a presentation was also given. The Portuguese (PT) NC, "Agência para o Desenvolvimento e Coesão", organized a technical meeting with PT beneficiaries and FLC in Oporto on 25 July, whereas 13 bilateral meetings with PT partners also took place. "Préfecture de la région Pays de la Loire", the French (FR) NC, has also participated in 1 regional meeting on ETC in Nouvelle Aquitaine on 8 March, and presented the programme to the state level authorities in Pays de la Loire on 26 June. The FR NC has also organised two informative sessions for the beneficiaries of the 1st call: Rennes, 23 April 2018 and Bordeaux, 17 April. The Irish NC, "Northern and Western Regional Assembly", participated in 7 regional meetings to present the programme. 4 dedicated meetings were organised for the Southern Regional Assembly, the Sligo IT Research staff, the Regional tourism think tank as well as to NUI Galway. The UK NC organized engagement events following the launch of the 2nd call on March 15th, the UK CP in collaboration with UK Universities and Devolved Administrations in Aberdeen on 13 March, in Plymouth University on 26 March, in Ulster University on 12 April, in Swansea on 13 April and 2 surgery sessions in Northern Ireland; on 11 April and 3 May. The programme was also represented by the CP at several events during the year, such as Horizon 2020 annual event in Wales and Marine Energy Wales annual conference.

3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013)

3.1 Overview of the implementation

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
1.	Stimulating innovation and competitiveness	<p>During the 2nd quarter of 2018 the Programme launched its 2nd Call for proposals for all priority axes. The quality assessment occurred between June and October and the decision on the selection of projects took place during the MC meeting, in Cardiff, on 16-18 October.</p> <p>The details for priority axis 1 under this call are as follows:</p> <p>8 projects were approved, out of which 5 under the specific objective 1.2 (<i>Strengthening the transfer of innovation results to facilitate the emergence of new products, services and processes</i>) and 3 projects under the specific objective 1.1 (Enhancing innovation capacity through cooperation to foster competitiveness).</p> <p>Consequently, the total number of projects approved for priority axis 1 is 27. In this way, Priority 1 has the highest number of running projects in the programme representing 38% of the projects approved.</p> <p>Throughout 2018, all the subsidy contracts of the projects of the 1st Call for this priority were signed.</p> <p>The Managing Authority (MA) and the Joint Secretariat (JS) conducted a training session for the Lead Partners on the Integrated Management Information System (SIGI), to facilitate the adaptation to the tool and coach them in its use.</p> <p>National Authorities (NA), together with the JS, organized training sessions in each of the Member States (MS) for the beneficiaries and First Level Controllers (FLC).</p> <p>For priority axis 1, 16 projects out of the 19 approved in the 1st Call, submitted an advancement claim (the pre-financing payment may amount up to 5% of the approved ERDF budget of the project and is recovered in the first payment claims of the partners concerned; its allocation shall be agreed among the partnership) and 14 out of these 19 submitted their progress reports during 2018.</p>
2.	Fostering Resource Efficiency	<p>In 2018 the 2nd Call for proposals was launched and 6 out of the 27 approved projects addressed priority axis 2. In more detail:</p> <p>6 projects were approved, out of which 4 under the specific objective 2.1 Fostering renewable energies</p>

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
		<p>and energy efficiency (IP 4a - Promoting the production and distribution of energy derived from renewable) and 2 were approved under specific objective 2.2 Fostering green growth, eco-innovation and environmental efficiency (IP 6g - Supporting industrial transition towards a resource-efficient economy, promoting green growth, eco-innovation and environmental).</p> <p>Consequently, the total number of projects approved for priority axis 2 is 15, which represents 21% of the approved projects.</p> <p>Throughout 2018, all of the 1st Call projects' subsidy contracts for Priority 2 were signed.</p> <p>As it was previously mentioned, the MA and the JS conducted a training session for the Lead Partners to facilitate the adaptation to SIGI and NA, together with the JS, organized training sessions in each of the MS for the beneficiaries and FLC.</p> <p>For priority axis 2, 6 projects out of the 9 approved in the 1st Call, submitted an advancement claim and 7 out of these 9 submitted their progress reports during 2018.</p>
3.	Strengthening the territory's resilience to risks of natural, climate and human origin	<p>Under the 2nd Call for proposals, launched in 2018, 6 projects were approved under priority axis 3. All of them belong to specific objective 3.1 Strengthening risks management systems (IP - 5b Promoting investment to address specific risks, ensuring disaster resilience and developing disaster management systems).</p> <p>Consequently, the total number of projects approved for priority axis 3 is 9, representing 13 % of the approved projects.</p> <p>Throughout 2018, all of the subsidy contracts of the 1st Call projects for priority 3 were signed. Lead Partners and FLC benefited from a training session organized by the MA and the JS in Porto and from local sessions jointly organized with NA in their native countries.</p> <p>For priority axis 3, 3 projects (only 3 were approved for the 1st Call), submitted an advancement claim and have also submitted their progress reports during 2018.</p>
4.	Enhancing biodiversity and the natural and cultural assets	<p>In what concerns priority axis 4, 7 projects were approved under the above mentioned Call 2: 4 under specific objective 4.1 Improving the protection of biodiversity and enhancing ecosystem services (IP - 6d Protecting and restoring biodiversity, soil protection and restoration and promoting ecosystem</p>

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
		<p>services including NATURA 2000 and green infrastructures) and the remaining 3 under the specific objective 4.2 Enhancing natural and cultural assets to stimulate economic development (IP 6c Conserving, protecting, promoting and developing natural and cultural heritage).</p> <p>Consequently, the total number of projects approved for priority axis 4 is 21. In this way, priority 2 has the second highest number of running projects in the programme, representing 29% of the projects approved.</p> <p>Throughout 2018, all of the 1st Call projects' subsidy contracts for priority 4 were signed.</p> <p>Beneficiaries and FLC also benefited from training sessions organized by the MA, JS and NA.</p> <p>For priority axis 4, all projects (14 approved under Call 1) submitted an advancement claim and 10 out of these 14 submitted their progress reports during 2018.</p>
5.	Technical Assistance	<p>Under priority axis 5.1 the management, communication and control system is being settled to achieve a good level of interaction with potential beneficiaries, beneficiaries, member states and programme bodies. The programme visibility and communication activities to promote the funding opportunities are ongoing through the website, social networks, including the organisation and participation in relevant events, some of them in coordination with other ETC programmes on common issues, to exchange experience and know how.</p> <p>Under priority axis 5.2 the effective support provided to projects applicants resulted in the launch of the second call which received 115 submitted proposals. As a result, 27 new projects involving 260 beneficiaries were approved.</p> <p>The 27 projects approved in October by the MC represent a total investment of EUR 62.2 million (ERDF EUR 46,7 million), i.e. The entire available ERDF budget of the programme (EUR 140 million) is now fully committed. Around 720 institutions from the 5 member states and beyond are now being funded by the Atlantic Area Programme. To support projects in their smooth kick off and to enhance visibility to their activities, the JS provided guidance and organized training sessions for beneficiaries to comply with the EC and national regulations and with the programme communication rules.</p> <p>As a positive result of these combined efforts, the N+3 target for 2018 was reached.</p> <p>In terms of the Technical Assistance budget, the total declared expenditure is EUR 2 235 176 euros, representing 22% of the total eligible budget for this priority axis.</p>

3.2 Common and programme specific indicators (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Priority axes other than technical assistance

Priority axis	1 - STIMULATING INNOVATION AND COMPETITIVENESS
Investment priority	1b - Promoting business investment in innovation and research, and developing links and synergies between enterprises, R&D centres and higher education, in particular product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation, eco-innovation, public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation and supporting technological and applied research, pilot lines, early product validation actions, advanced manufacturing capabilities and first production, in particular in Key Enabling Technologies and diffusion of general purpose technologies .
Specific objective	1.1 - Enhancing innovation capacity through cooperation to foster competitiveness

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement Unit	Target Value (2023)	2018	Observations (if necessary)
F	CO01	Number of enterprises receiving support	Number	30	67	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	CO01	Number of enterprises receiving support	Number	30	882	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	CO28	Number of enterprises supported to introduce new to the market products	Number	10	0	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	CO28	Number of enterprises supported to introduce new to the market products	Number	10	3	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	CO29	Number of enterprises supported to introduce new to the firm products	Number	10	15	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	CO29	Number of enterprises supported to introduce new to the firm products	Number	10	28	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	CO41	Number of enterprises participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Number	30	7	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	CO41	Number of enterprises participating in cross-border,	Number	30	181	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement Unit	Target Value (2023)	2018	Observations (if necessary)
		transnational or interregional research projects				project (target extracted from application forms)
F	CO42	Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Number	30	0	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	CO42	Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Number	30	73	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	Number	33	1	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	Number	33	17	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	Number	132	6	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	Number	132	26	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	Number	66	22	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	Number	66	59	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	Number	165	22	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	Number	165	175	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	Number	10 725	1286	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	Number	10 725	6032	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)

(1)	ID	Indicator	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	CO01	Number of enterprises receiving support	0	0	0	0
S	CO01	Number of enterprises receiving support	0	0	0	0

F	CO28	Number of enterprises supported to introduce new to the market products	0	0	0	0
S	CO28	Number of enterprises supported to introduce new to the market products	0	0	0	0
F	CO29	Number of enterprises supported to introduce new to the firm products	0	0	0	0
S	CO29	Number of enterprises supported to introduce new to the firm products	0	0	0	0
F	CO41	Number of enterprises participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	0	0	0	0
S	CO41	Number of enterprises participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	0	0	0	0
F	CO42	Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	0	0	0	0
S	CO42	Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	0	0	0	0
F	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	0	0	0	0
S	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	0	0	0	0
F	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	0	0	0	0
S	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	0	0	0	0
F	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	0	0	0	0
S	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	0	0	0	0
F	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0
S	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0
F	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0
S	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0

Table 1: Result indicators

SO1.1: Programme specific result indicators for specific objective 1.1								
ID	Indicator	Measurement Unit	Baseline Value	Baseline Year	Target Value (2023)	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	Observations (if necessary)
1.1	Degree of coverage of regional policies in relation to most relevant EU recommendations for the development of regional innovation systems	Index 0-100	57,76	2016	58,15	0	0	Midterm quantification will take place in 2019 and a final quantification will be provided in 2023, with the final implementation report. According to the Approved Cooperation Programme. Source of data: survey (to AA regional Authorities).

ID	Indicator	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
1.1	Degree of coverage of regional policies in relation to most relevant EU recommendations for the development of regional innovation systems	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Priority axis	1 – STIMULATING INNOVATION AND COMPETITIVENESS
Investment priority	1b – Promoting business investment in innovation and research, and developing links and synergies between enterprises, R&D centres and higher education, in particular product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation, eco-innovation, public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation and supporting technological and applied research, pilot lines, early product validation actions, advanced manufacturing capabilities and first production, in particular in Key Enabling Technologies and diffusion of general purpose technologies.
Specific objective	1.2 – Strengthening the transfer of innovation results to facilitate the emergence of new products, services and processes

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement Unit	Target Value (2023)	2018	Observations (if necessary)
F	CO01	Number of enterprises receiving support	Number	30	111	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	CO01	Number of enterprises receiving support	Number	30	1163	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	CO28	Number of enterprises supported to introduce new to the market products	Number	10	67	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	CO28	Number of enterprises supported to introduce new to the market products	Number	10	508	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	CO29	Number of enterprises supported to introduce new to the firm products	Number	10	0	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	CO29	Number of enterprises supported to introduce new to the firm products	Number	10	324	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	CO41	Number of enterprises participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Number	30	0	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	CO41	Number of enterprises participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Number	30	237	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	CO42	Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Number	30	0	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	CO42	Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Number	30	65	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	Number	33	18	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	Number	33	282	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	Number	132	0	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	Number	132	178	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	Number	66	15	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	Number	66	109	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement Unit	Target Value (2023)	2018	Observations (if necessary)
F	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	Number	165	1153	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	Number	165	1078	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	Number	10 725	6189	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	Number	10 725	61852	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)

(1)	ID	Indicator	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	CO01	Number of enterprises receiving support	0	0	0	0
S	CO01	Number of enterprises receiving support	0	0	0	0
F	CO28	Number of enterprises supported to introduce new to the market products	0	0	0	0
S	CO28	Number of enterprises supported to introduce new to the market products	0	0	0	0
F	CO29	Number of enterprises supported to introduce new to the firm products	0	0	0	0
S	CO29	Number of enterprises supported to introduce new to the firm products	0	0	0	0
F	CO41	Number of enterprises participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	0	0	0	0
S	CO41	Number of enterprises participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	0	0	0	0
F	CO42	Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research	0	0	0	0
S	CO42	Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research	0	0	0	0
F	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	0	0	0	0
S	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	0	0	0	0
F	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	0	0	0	0
S	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	0	0	0	0
F	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	0	0	0	0
S	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	0	0	0	0

(1)	ID	Indicator	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0
S	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0
F	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0
S	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0

Table 1: Result indicators

SO1.2: Programme specific result indicators for specific objective 1.2								
ID	Indicator	Measurement Unit	Baseline Value	Baseline Year	Target Value (2023)	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	Observations (if necessary)
1.2	Financing absorptive capacity index addressing the access of business, social and public organisations to innovation results	Index 0-100	54,53	2016	66,35	0	0	Midterm quantification will take place in 2019 and a final quantification will be provided in 2023, with the final implementation report. According to the Approved Cooperation Programme. Source of data: survey (to AA regional Authorities).

ID	Indicator	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
1.2	Financing absorptive capacity index addressing the access of business, social and public organisations to innovation results			0	0	0	0	0	0

Priority axis	2 - FOSTERING RESOURCE EFFICIENCY
Investment priority	4 a - Promoting the production and distribution of energy derived from renewable sources
Specific objective	2.1 Fostering renewable energies and energy efficiency

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement Unit	Target Value (2023)	2018	Observations (if necessary)
F	CO30	Additional capacity of renewable energy production	Mw	10	3	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	CO30	Additional capacity of renewable energy production	Mw	10	749	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	CO34	Greenhouse gas reduction	Tons of CO2 equivalent	80 000	4	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	CO34	Greenhouse gas reduction	Tons of CO2 equivalent	80 000	582	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	Number	10	7	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	Number	10	64	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	Number	40	12	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	Number	40	110	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	Number	20	7	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	Number	20	47	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	Number	50	34	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	Number	50	171	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement Unit	Target Value (2023)	2018	Observations (if necessary)
F	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	Number	3 250	1633	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	Number	3 250	19331	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)

(1)	ID	Indicator	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	CO30	Additional capacity of renewable energy production	0	0	0	0
S	CO30	Additional capacity of renewable energy production	0	0	0	0
F	CO34	Greenhouse gas reduction	0	0	0	0
S	CO34	Greenhouse gas reduction	0	0	0	0
F	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	0	0	0	0
S	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	0	0	0	0
F	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	0	0	0	0
S	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	0	0	0	0
F	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	0	0	0	0
S	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	0	0	0	0
F	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0
S	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0
F	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0
S	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0

Table 1: Result indicators

SO2.1: Programme specific result indicators for specific objective 2.1 (IP 4a)								
ID	Indicator	Measurement Unit	Baseline Value	Baseline Year	Target Value (2023)	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	Observations (if necessary)
2.1	Percentage of population covered by local sustainable energy action plans	Index 0-100	5,77	2016	43,89	0	0	<p>Midterm quantification will take place in 2019 and a final quantification will be provided in 2023, with the final implementation report.</p> <p>According to the Approved Cooperation Programme. Source of data: survey (to AA regional Authorities).</p>

ID	Indicator	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
2.1	Percentage of population covered by local sustainable energy action plans			0	0	0	0	0	0

Priority axis	2 - FOSTERING RESOURCE EFFICIENCY
Investment priority	6g Supporting industrial transition towards a resource-efficient economy, promoting green growth, eco-innovation and environmental
Specific objective	2.2 Fostering green growth, eco-innovation and environmental efficiency

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement Unit	Target Value (2023)	2018	Observations (if necessary)
F	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	Number	11	0	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	Number	11	39	Outputs’ forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	Number	44	1	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	Number	44	23	Outputs’ forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	Number	22	0	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	Number	22	7	Outputs’ forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	Number	55	13	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and	Number	55	55	Outputs’ forecast indicated by operations until the end of the

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement Unit	Target Value (2023)	2018	Observations (if necessary)
		capitalisation of results				project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	Number	3 575	0	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	Number	3 575	83050	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)

(1)	ID	Indicator	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	0	0	0	0
S	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	0	0	0	0
F	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	0	0	0	0
S	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	0	0	0	0
F	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	0	0	0	0
S	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	0	0	0	0
F	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0
S	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0
F	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0
S	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0

Table 1: Result indicators

SO2.2: Programme specific result indicators for specific objective 2.2 (IP 6g)								
ID	Indicator	Measurement Unit	Baseline Value	Baseline Year	Target Value (2023)	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	Observations (if necessary)
2.2	Degree of coverage of regional policies in relation to most relevant EU recommendations for eco-innovation and green growth.	Index 0-100	53,48	2016	57,23	0	0	Midterm quantification will take place in 2019 and a final quantification will be provided in 2023, with the final implementation report. According to the Approved Cooperation Programme. Source of data: survey (to AA regional Authorities).

ID	Indicator	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
2.2	Degree of coverage of regional policies in relation to most relevant EU recommendations for eco-innovation and green growth.			0	0	0	0	0	0

Priority axis	3 - STRENGTHENING THE TERRITORY'S RESILIENCE TO RISKS OF NATURAL, CLIMATE AND HUMAN ORIGIN
Investment priority	5b Promoting investment to address specific risks, ensuring disaster resilience and developing disaster management systems
Specific objective	3.1 Strengthening risks management systems

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement Unit	Target Value (2023)	2018	Observations (if necessary)
F	CO20	Population benefiting from flood protection measures	Number	500 000	0	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	CO20	Population benefiting from flood protection measures	Number	500 000	1299000	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	CO21	Population benefiting from forest fire protection measures	Number	500 000	0	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	CO21	Population benefiting from forest fire protection measures	Number	500 000	389000	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	Number	9	7	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	Number	9	23	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	Number	36	13	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	Number	36	35	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	Number	18	7	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	Number	18	42	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	Number	45	40	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and	Number	45	81	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement Unit	Target Value (2023)	2018	Observations (if necessary)
		capitalisation of results				project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	Number	2 925	1273	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	Number	2 925	1915	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)

(1)	ID	Indicator	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	CO20	Population benefiting from flood protection measures	0	0	0	0
S	CO20	Population benefiting from flood protection measures	0	0	0	0
F	CO21	Population benefiting from forest fire protection measures	0	0	0	0
S	CO21	Population benefiting from forest fire protection measures	0	0	0	0
F	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	0	0	0	0
S	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	0	0	0	0
F	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	0	0	0	0
S	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	0	0	0	0
F	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	0	0	0	0
S	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	0	0	0	0
F	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0
S	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0
F	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0
S	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0

Table 1: Result indicators

SO3.1: Programme specific result indicators for specific objective 3.1 IP 5b								
ID	Indicator	Measurement Unit	Baseline Value	Baseline Year	Target Value (2023)	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	Observations (if necessary)
3.1	Degree of coverage of regional policies in relation to most relevant EU recommendations for the resilience of land and maritime areas	Index 0-100	45,73	2016	56,88	0	0	Midterm quantification will take place in 2019 and a final quantification will be provided in 2023, with the final implementation report. According to the Approved Cooperation Programme. Source of data: survey (to AA regional Authorities).

ID	Indicator	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
3.1	Degree of coverage of regional policies in relation to most relevant EU recommendations for the resilience of land and maritime areas			0	0	0	0	0	0

Priority axis	4 - ENHANCING BIODIVERSITY AND THE NATURAL AND CULTURAL ASSETS
Investment priority	6d Protecting and restoring biodiversity, soil protection and restoration and promoting ecosystem services including NATURA 2000 and green infrastructures
Specific objective	4.1 Improving the protection of biodiversity and enhancing ecosystem services

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement Unit	Target Value (2023)	2018	Observations (if necessary)
F	CO22	Total surface area of rehabilitated land	Hectares	1	0	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	CO22	Total surface area of rehabilitated land	Hectares	1	0	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	CO23	Surface area of habitats supported in order to attain a better conservation status	Hectares	2 500	0	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	CO23	Surface area of habitats supported in order to attain a better conservation status	Hectares	2 500	65800	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	Number	12	4	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	Number	12	124	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	Number	48	18	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	Number	48	156	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	Number	24	1	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	Number	24	126	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	Number	60	45	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and	Number	60	509	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of

		capitalisation of results				the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	Number	3 900	6195	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	Number	3 900	34983	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)

(1)	ID	Indicator	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	CO22	Total surface area of rehabilitated land	0	0	0	0
S	CO22	Total surface area of rehabilitated land	0	0	0	0
F	CO23	Surface area of habitats supported in order to attain a better conservation status	0	0	0	0
S	CO23	Surface area of habitats supported in order to attain a better conservation status	0	0	0	0
F	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	0	0	0	0
S	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	0	0	0	0
F	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	0	0	0	0
S	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	0	0	0	0
F	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	0	0	0	0
S	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	0	0	0	0
F	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0
S	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0
F	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0
S	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0

Table 1: Result indicators

SO4.1: Programme specific result indicators for specific objective 4.1 – IP 6d								
ID	Indicator	Measurement Unit	Baseline Value	Baseline Year	Target Value (2023)	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	Observations (if necessary)
4.1	Degree of coverage of regional policies in relation to most relevant EU recommendations for the improvement of biodiversity and ecosystems services.	Index 0-100	45,73	2016	57,10	0	0	Midterm quantification will take place in 2019 and a final quantification will be provided in 2023, with the final implementation report. According to the Approved Cooperation Programme. Source of data: survey (to AA regional Authorities).

ID	Indicator	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
4.1	Degree of coverage of regional policies in relation to most relevant EU recommendations for the improvement of biodiversity and ecosystems services.			0	0	0	0	0	0

Priority axis	4 - ENHANCING BIODIVERSITY AND THE NATURAL AND CULTURAL ASSETS
Investment priority	6c Conserving, protecting, promoting and developing natural and cultural heritage
Specific objective	4.2 Enhancing natural and cultural assets to stimulate economic development

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement Unit	Target Value (2023)	2018	Observations (if necessary)
F	CO09	Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attractions	visits/year	3 000	0	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	CO09	Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attractions	visits/year	3 000	57400	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	number	14	3	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	number	14	75	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	number	56	4	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	number	56	48	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	number	28	5	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	number	28	79	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement Unit	Target Value (2023)	2018	Observations (if necessary)
F	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	number	70	6	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	number	70	237	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)
F	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	number	4 550	139	Cumulative value – output delivered by operations (real achievements extracted from progress reports)
S	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	number	4 550	839153	Outputs' forecast indicated by operations until the end of the project (target extracted from application forms)

(1)	ID	Indicator	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	CO09	Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attractions	0	0	0	0
S	CO09	Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attractions	0	0	0	0
F	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	0	0	0	0
S	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	0	0	0	0
	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	0	0	0	0
F	PI02	Number of technical and scientific publications produced	0	0	0	0
S	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	0	0	0	0
F	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	0	0	0	0
S	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0
F	PI04	Number of actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0
F	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0
S	PI05	Number of participants in actions for the dissemination and capitalisation of results	0	0	0	0

Table 1: Result indicators

SO4.2: Programme specific result indicators for specific objective 4.2 IP6c								
ID	Indicator	Measurement Unit	Baseline Value	Baseline Year	Target Value (2023)	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Qualitative
4.2	Degree of coverage of regional policies in relation to most relevant EU recommendations for cultural and natural assets as factor of local economic development.	Index 0-100	56,68	2016	65,27	0	0	Midterm quantification will take place in 2019 and a final quantification will be provided in 2023, with the final implementation report. According to the Approved Cooperation Programme. Source of data: survey (to AA regional Authorities).

ID	Indicator	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
4.2	Degree of coverage of regional policies in relation to most relevant EU recommendations for cultural and natural assets as factor of local economic development.			0	0	0	0	0	0

Priority axes for technical assistance

Output indicators for priority axis 5 (technical assistance)														
ID	Indicator	Measurement Unit	Target Value (2023)	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	Observations (if necessary)
5.1.1	Number of managing documents, instruments and services developed and implemented	Number	-	0	0	3	18	47						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Website areas: "Call 2-2018" and "Projects Approved" - Call 2: Announcement; Terms of Reference; FAQs; Templates; Application Form; Co-financing declaration for Lead Partner and Partners; Legal Representative Letter; Legal Appointed Representative Letter; Subsidy Contract; Partnership Agreement. - Advancement Request; Regularity Declarations; Partner

Output indicators for priority axis 5 (technical assistance)														Observations (if necessary)
ID	Indicator	Measurement Unit	Target Value (2023)	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	
														and Project Progress Report; Modifications request; Templates: Certificate of expenditure - Desk Control Statement; Control Report; On-the-spot Control Statement; Control procedures supervision statement; Desk Controlled Documents; on-the-spot controlled documents; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Revised Programme Manual in 4 languages; - Guide to request advanced payment; guide to add users on the project area; - "Applicant's User Guide" to beneficiaries on how to fill in a progress report and payment claims; - Updated Brand Design Manual, Guidelines for Projects Communication, programme logos, editable logos for the approved projects; - Template for project posters A3, 4 priorities; - Citizen Summary in 4 languages; - List of operations of Call 1; - Approved revised Atlantic Area Programme/milestones modification; - Annual Implementation Report 2017; - TA budget approved by the MC; - Evaluation Plan.
5.1.2	Number of meetings organized by programme bodies	Number	-	0	0	8	6	25						
5.1.3	Number of participants in meetings organized by programme bodies	Number	-	0	0	200	138	145						Estimated number based on information gathered from member states participating in the programme
5.1.4	Number of information and capitalisation seminars and	Number	-	0	0	8	1	11						

Output indicators for priority axis 5 (technical assistance)														Observations (if necessary)
ID	Indicator	Measurement Unit	Target Value (2023)	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	
	conferences organized by programme bodies													
5.1.5	Number of participants in information and capitalisation seminars and conferences organized by programme bodies	Number	-	0	0	1 113	45	665						Estimated number based on information gathered from member states participating in the programme
5.1.6	Number of studies, surveys and evaluation actions implemented	Number	-	0	0	0	1	2						Annual Implementation Report Evaluation Plan
5.2.1	Number of workshops and training events organized by programme bodies	Number	-	0	0	0	7	7						
5.2.2	Number of participants in workshops and training events organized by programme bodies	Number	-	0	0	0	120	195						Estimated number based on information gathered from member states participating in the programme
5.2.3	Average time delay of projects' reporting and payment claims	Days	-	0	0	0	0	124						Indicator value based on 39 progress reports (34 are 1st progress report and 5 are 2nd progress report)
5.2.4	Average time for the validation of projects' reporting and payment claims	Days	-	0	0	0	0	0						Indicator value based on the average delay between JS financial validation and Managing Authority approval: 0,47
5.2.5	Average time of project partners reimbursements	Days	-	0	0	0	0	6						Value obtained by considering expenditures of 39 progress reports (without preparation costs). The value would be negative (-38) if we include preparation costs because the majority of these costs were covered by the advancement

Output indicators for priority axis 5 (technical assistance)														Observations (if necessary)
ID	Indicator	Measurement Unit	Target Value (2023)	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	
														request paid by the programme.
5.2.6	Number of system and operations audits performed	Number	-	0	0	0	1	1						By the Audit Authority
5.3.1	Number of employees whose salaries are co-financed by TA	FTE	-	0	0	9	6	9						2018: JS + 1 person replacing a maternity leave

3.3 Milestones and targets defined in the performance framework (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013) — submitted in annual implementation reports from 2017 onward

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Milestone for 2018 total	Final target (2023) total	2018	Observations
1	F	PI06	Total eligible expenditure certified and submitted to EC	Euros	785 287	62 822 988	695 833	The designation of the Indicator was corrected to be equal to the one presented in the Cooperation Programme revised and approved in Dec. 2018 by the EC.
1	O	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	Number	1	33	19	
1	O	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	Number	2	66	37	
2	F	PI06	Total eligible expenditure certified and submitted to EC	Euros	495 740	39 659 206	705 649	The designation of the Indicator was corrected to be equal to the one presented in the Cooperation Programme revised and approved in Dec. 2018 by the EC.
2	O	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	Number	1	21	7	
2	O	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	Number	1	42	7	
3	F	PI06	Total eligible expenditure certified and submitted to EC	Euros	254 451	20 356 053	611 544	The designation of the Indicator was corrected to be equal to the one presented in the Cooperation Programme revised and approved in Dec. 2018 by the EC.

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Milestone for 2018 total	Final target (2023) total	2018	Observations
3	O	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	Number	1	9	7	
3	O	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	Number	1	18	7	
4	F	PI06	Total eligible expenditure certified and submitted to EC	Euros	658 062	52 644 961	774 380	The designation of the Indicator was corrected to be equal to the one presented in the Cooperation Programme revised and approved in Dec. 2018 by the EC.
4	O	PI01	Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented	Number	1	26	7	
4	O	PI03	Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced	Number	1	52	6	

3.4. Financial data (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Table 4: Financial information at priority axis and programme level

Priority axis	Fund	Calculation basis	Total funding	Co-financing rate	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Proportion of the total allocation covered with selected operations	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Proportion of the total allocation covered by eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries	Number of operations selected
Priority 1	ERDF	total eligible cost	62 822 988	75	62 929 843	100,2%	61 729 153	695 833	1,1%	27
Priority 2	ERDF	total eligible cost	39 659 206	75	42 270 084	106,6%	40 048 662	705 649	1,8%	15
Priority 3	ERDF	total eligible cost	20 356 053	75	20 403 983	100,2%	18 689 573	611 544	3,0%	9
Priority 4	ERDF	total eligible cost	52 644 961	75	53 800 475	102,2%	53 561 130	774 380	1,5%	21

Priority 5	ERDF	total eligible cost	9 883 284	85	3 464 076	35,0%	3 464 076	2 701 359	27,3%	1
Total			185 366 492	76	182 868 461	98,6%	177 492 594	5 488 765	3,0%	73
Grand total			185 366 492		182 868 461	98,6%	177 492 594	5 488 765	3,0%	73

Where applicable, the use of any contribution from third countries participating in the cooperation programme should be provided (for example IPA and ENI, Norway, Switzerland)

Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	
Belgium	599 595€
Germany	413 925€
Italy	751 545€
Norway	281 061€
The Netherlands	613 400€
Finland	199 600€
Total	2 859 127€
The financial amounts reported under Table 6 – Section 3.4 include the ERDF approved for the participation of third countries, as referred in this section, further to the ERDF approved for not eligible regions within the programme's member states.	

Table 5: Breakdown of the cumulative financial data by category of intervention

As set out in Table 2 of Annex II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1011/2014 (Model for transmission of financial data) and tables 6-9 of Model for cooperation programmes.

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention Field	Form of Finance	Territorial Dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic Dimension	Location Dimension NUT 3	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of the operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to MA	Number of operations selected
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	001	01	07	07	01	0	13	FR52	136766,35	134867,80	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	001	01	07	07	01	0	24	ES70	243933,55	240547,34	14873,89	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	001	01	07	07	01	0	02	ES11	307037,05	302774,87	2000,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	001	01	07	07	01	0	02	ES61	466776,48	450844,70	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	001	01	07	07	01	0	02	PT11	126193,40	124441,63	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	002	01	07	07	01	0	01	FR52	347449,19	342626,02	1425,74	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	002	01	07	07	01	0	02	IE01	129114,16	127321,84	800,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	056	01	07	07	01	0	13	FR52	182355,13	179823,74	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	056	01	07	07	01	0	20	IE01	291127,94	287086,60	2805,99	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	056	01	07	07	01	0	24	ES11	648409,09	626277,91	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	056	01	07	07	01	0	24	ES70	97573,42	96218,94	5949,56	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	056	01	07	07	01	0	01	FR52	347449,19	342626,02	1425,74	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	057	01	07	07	01	0	22	ES12	314718,12	303976,32	0,00	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention Field	Form of Finance	Territorial Dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic Dimension	Location Dimension NUT 3	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of the operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to MA	Number of operations selected
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	057	01	07	07	01	0	01	FR52	347449,19	342626,02	1425,74	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	058	01	07	07	01	0	20	IE01	291127,94	287086,60	2805,99	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	058	01	07	07	01	0	23	ES11	785686,50	758869,84	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	058	01	07	07	01	0	01	FR52	347449,19	342626,02	1425,74	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	059	01	07	07	01	0	20	IE01	291127,94	287086,60	2805,99	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	059	01	07	07	01	0	01	FR52	347449,19	342626,02	1425,74	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01	0	20	ES11	1417561,96	1369178,43	0,00	2
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01	0	20	IE01	436502,62	430443,24	4207,16	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01	0	22	ES12	314718,12	303976,32	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01	0	22	PT17	465722,44	459257,43	5453,68	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01	0	23	ES11	785686,50	758869,84	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01	0	23	UKL2	208624,14	205728,09	1600,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01	0	23	UKM3	249975,00	246504,93	2285,71	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01	0	24	ES11	648409,09	626277,91	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01	0	24	PT17	775268,81	748807,72	0,00	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention Field	Form of Finance	Territorial Dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic Dimension	Location Dimension NUT 3	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of the operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to MA	Number of operations selected
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01	0	01	FR52	347449,19	342626,02	1425,74	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01	0	02	ES11	2363615,54	2330804,63	5555,56	3
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01	0	02	IE01	200468,95	197686,10	28921,36	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01	0	02	PT11	706683,04	696873,11	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	061	01	07	07	01	0	20	ES11	442165,98	427074,19	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	061	01	07	07	01	0	22	ES12	314718,12	303976,32	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	061	01	07	07	01	0	22	PT17	465722,44	459257,43	5453,68	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	061	01	07	07	01	0	23	UKL2	208624,14	205728,09	1600,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	061	01	07	07	01	0	23	UKM3	249975,00	246504,93	2285,71	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	061	01	07	07	01	0	24	ES70	48786,71	48109,47	2974,78	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	061	01	07	07	01	0	24	PT17	775268,81	748807,72	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	061	01	07	07	01	0	01	FR52	347449,19	342626,02	1425,74	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	061	01	07	07	01	0	02	ES11	791398,39	780412,46	4666,67	2
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	061	01	07	07	01	0	02	IE01	100234,47	98843,05	14460,68	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01	0	13	FR52	136766,35	134867,80	0,00	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention Field	Form of Finance	Territorial Dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic Dimension	Location Dimension NUT 3	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of the operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to MA	Number of operations selected
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01	0	20	ES11	1417561,96	1369178,43	0,00	2
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01	0	20	IE01	436502,62	430443,24	4207,16	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01	0	22	PT17	931444,87	918514,87	10907,35	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01	0	23	UKL2	208624,14	205728,09	1600,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01	0	23	UKM3	249975,00	246504,93	2285,71	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01	0	24	ES11	648409,09	626277,91	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01	0	24	ES70	48786,71	48109,47	2974,78	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01	0	24	PT17	775268,81	748807,72	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01	0	02	ES11	1658233,85	1635214,81	5555,56	3
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01	0	02	ES61	466776,48	450844,70	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01	0	02	IE01	200468,95	197686,10	28921,36	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01	0	02	PT11	706683,04	696873,11	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01	0	07	PT11	984923,51	971251,14	16000,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01	0	23	UKK4	704603,33	694822,27	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01	0	13	FR52	455887,83	449559,35	0,00	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention Field	Form of Finance	Territorial Dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic Dimension	Location Dimension NUT 3	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of the operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to MA	Number of operations selected
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01	0	20	ES11	606523,62	585822,05	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01	0	20	IE01	291127,94	287086,60	2805,99	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01	0	22	PT17	465722,44	459257,43	5453,68	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01	0	23	UKM3	249975,00	246504,93	2285,71	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01	0	24	ES70	97573,42	96218,94	5949,56	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01	0	01	FR52	347449,19	342626,02	1425,74	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01	0	02	ES11	307037,05	302774,87	2000,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01	0	02	FR61	540000,00	532503,90	4800,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01	0	02	IE01	501172,37	494215,26	72303,40	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01	0	05	ES11	1275776,07	1258066,18	46645,45	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01	0	07	ES21	594000,00	585754,29	5647,06	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01	0	23	UKK4	704603,33	694822,27	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	064	01	07	07	01	0	13	FR52	136766,35	134867,80	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	064	01	07	07	01	0	20	ES11	1417561,96	1369178,43	0,00	2
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	064	01	07	07	01	0	20	IE01	436502,62	430443,24	4207,16	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention Field	Form of Finance	Territorial Dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic Dimension	Location Dimension NUT 3	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of the operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to MA	Number of operations selected
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	064	01	07	07	01	0	22	ES12	314718,12	303976,32	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	064	01	07	07	01	0	22	PT17	465722,44	459257,43	5453,68	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	064	01	07	07	01	0	23	UKL2	208624,14	205728,09	1600,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	064	01	07	07	01	0	23	UKM3	249975,00	246504,93	2285,71	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	064	01	07	07	01	0	24	ES11	648409,09	626277,91	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	064	01	07	07	01	0	24	ES70	487867,09	481094,69	29747,78	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	064	01	07	07	01	0	01	FR52	347449,19	342626,02	1425,74	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	064	01	07	07	01	0	02	ES11	2363615,54	2330804,63	5555,56	3
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	064	01	07	07	01	0	02	IE01	975153,88	961617,12	33721,36	2
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	064	01	07	07	01	0	02	PT11	706683,04	696873,11	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	064	01	07	07	01	0	05	ES11	1275776,07	1258066,18	46645,45	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	064	01	07	07	01	0	23	UKK4	704603,33	694822,27	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	066	01	07	07	01	0	13	FR52	227943,91	224779,67	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	066	01	07	07	01	0	20	ES11	606523,62	585822,05	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	066	01	07	07	01	0	20	IE01	293020,84	288953,22	2824,24	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention Field	Form of Finance	Territorial Dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic Dimension	Location Dimension NUT 3	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of the operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to MA	Number of operations selected
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	066	01	07	07	01	0	22	ES12	314718,12	303976,32	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	066	01	07	07	01	0	22	PT17	465722,44	459257,43	5453,68	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	066	01	07	07	01	0	23	UKL2	625872,43	617184,28	4800,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	066	01	07	07	01	0	23	UKM3	249975,00	246504,93	2285,71	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	066	01	07	07	01	0	24	ES70	487867,09	481094,69	29747,78	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	066	01	07	07	01	0	01	FR52	347449,19	342626,02	1425,74	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	066	01	07	07	01	0	02	ES11	791398,39	780412,46	4666,67	2
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	066	01	07	07	01	0	02	FR61	720000,00	710005,20	6400,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	066	01	07	07	01	0	02	IE01	1175622,82	1159303,23	62642,72	2
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	066	01	07	07	01	0	02	PT11	151432,08	149329,95	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	066	01	07	07	01	0	07	ES21	495000,00	488128,58	4705,88	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01	0	13	FR52	729420,52	719294,95	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01	0	22	ES12	314718,12	303976,32	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01	0	22	PT17	372577,95	367405,95	4362,94	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01	0	23	UKL2	625872,43	617184,28	4800,00	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention Field	Form of Finance	Territorial Dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic Dimension	Location Dimension NUT 3	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of the operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to MA	Number of operations selected
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01	0	23	UKM3	249975,00	246504,93	2285,71	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01	0	24	ES70	243933,55	240547,34	14873,89	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01	0	01	FR52	424660,12	418765,13	1742,57	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01	0	02	ES11	307037,05	302774,87	2000,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01	0	02	ES61	466776,48	450844,70	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01	0	02	FR61	540000,00	532503,90	4800,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01	0	02	IE01	1104268,03	1088938,96	34521,36	2
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01	0	02	PT11	126193,40	124441,63	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01	0	07	ES21	594000,00	585754,29	5647,06	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01	0	23	UKK4	704603,33	694822,27	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	072	01	07	07	01	0	13	FR52	91177,57	89911,87	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	072	01	07	07	01	0	24	ES70	97573,42	96218,94	5949,56	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	072	01	07	07	01	0	23	UKK4	704603,33	694822,27	0,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	073	01	07	07	01	0	21	ES12	1643000,00	1620192,43	16000,00	1
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	073	01	07	07	01	0	02	ES61	466776,48	450844,70	0,00	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention Field	Form of Finance	Territorial Dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic Dimension	Location Dimension NUT 3	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of the operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to MA	Number of operations selected
Priority Axis 1	ERDF	073	01	07	07	01	0	23	UKK4	704603,33	694822,27	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	003	01	07	07	04	0	22	ES61	240845,62	235468,51	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	003	01	07	07	04	0	05	ES13	245901,64	228260,14	37615,92	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	003	01	07	07	04	0	05	IE01	336310,86	312183,21	1881,44	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	009	01	07	07	04	0	10	PT17	1960073,27	1819453,48	8000,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	009	01	07	07	04	0	22	ES61	240845,62	235468,51	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	009	01	07	07	04	0	22	FR61	484841,88	474017,32	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	009	01	07	07	04	0	22	PT11	262518,06	256657,09	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	009	01	07	07	04	0	22	UKM4	308796,12	301901,94	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	009	01	07	07	04	0	05	IE01	134524,34	124873,28	752,58	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	010	01	07	07	04	0	22	ES61	240845,62	235468,51	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	010	01	07	07	04	0	22	FR61	484841,88	474017,32	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	010	01	07	07	04	0	22	PT11	262518,06	256657,09	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	010	01	07	07	04	0	05	IE01	504466,29	468274,82	2822,16	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	011	01	07	07	04	0	22	PT11	262518,06	256657,09	0,00	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention Field	Form of Finance	Territorial Dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic Dimension	Location Dimension NUT 3	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of the operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to MA	Number of operations selected
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	012	01	07	07	04	0	11	UKN0	2915599,00	2706427,78	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	012	01	07	07	04	0	22	ES61	240845,62	235468,51	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	012	01	07	07	04	0	22	PT11	262518,06	256657,09	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	012	01	07	07	04	0	22	UKM4	308796,12	301901,94	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	056	01	07	07	04	0	22	ES61	240845,62	235468,51	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	056	01	07	07	04	0	22	FR61	484841,88	474017,32	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	056	01	07	07	04	0	22	PT11	262518,06	256657,09	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	056	01	07	07	04	0	05	IE01	336310,86	312183,21	1881,44	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	057	01	07	07	04	0	22	ES61	240845,62	235468,51	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	057	01	07	07	04	0	22	FR61	484841,88	474017,32	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	057	01	07	07	04	0	22	PT11	262518,06	256657,09	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	058	01	07	07	04	0	22	UKM4	308796,12	301901,94	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	058	01	07	07	04	0	05	IE01	168155,43	156091,61	940,72	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	059	01	07	07	04	0	22	UKM4	308796,12	301901,94	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	059	01	07	07	04	0	05	ES13	81967,21	76086,71	12538,64	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention Field	Form of Finance	Territorial Dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic Dimension	Location Dimension NUT 3	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of the operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to MA	Number of operations selected
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	060	01	07	07	04	0	10	PT17	588021,98	545836,04	2400,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	060	01	07	07	04	0	10	UKL1	364806,50	338634,51	8129,26	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	060	01	07	07	04	0	22	ES61	240845,62	235468,51	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	060	01	07	07	04	0	22	FR61	484841,88	474017,32	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	060	01	07	07	04	0	22	PT11	262518,06	256657,09	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	060	01	07	07	04	0	05	ES13	409836,07	380433,56	62693,19	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	060	01	07	07	04	0	05	IE01	504466,29	468274,82	2822,16	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	060	01	07	07	06	0	22	ES13	330287,62	322913,63	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	060	01	07	07	06	0	22	ES21	399366,84	390450,59	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	060	01	07	07	06	0	02	ES61	251546,51	233500,03	19412,52	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	061	01	07	07	04	0	22	ES61	240845,62	235468,51	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	061	01	07	07	04	0	22	FR61	484841,88	474017,32	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	061	01	07	07	04	0	22	UKM4	308796,12	301901,94	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	061	01	07	07	06	0	22	ES13	330287,62	322913,63	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	061	01	07	07	06	0	22	ES21	399366,84	390450,59	0,00	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention Field	Form of Finance	Territorial Dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic Dimension	Location Dimension NUT 3	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of the operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to MA	Number of operations selected
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	061	01	07	07	06	0	02	ES61	167697,67	155666,69	12941,68	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	062	01	07	07	04	0	10	PT17	588021,98	545836,04	2400,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	062	01	07	07	04	0	10	UKL1	364806,50	338634,51	8129,26	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	062	01	07	07	04	0	11	ES11	955823,21	887250,44	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	062	01	07	07	04	0	22	ES61	240845,62	235468,51	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	062	01	07	07	04	0	22	FR61	484841,88	474017,32	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	062	01	07	07	04	0	22	PT11	262518,06	256657,09	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	062	01	07	07	04	0	22	UKM4	308796,12	301901,94	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	062	01	07	07	04	0	05	ES13	204918,03	190216,78	31346,60	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	062	01	07	07	04	0	05	IE01	504466,29	468274,82	2822,16	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	062	01	07	07	06	0	11	FR52	1479950,00	1373775,26	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	062	01	07	07	06	0	22	ES13	330287,62	322913,63	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	062	01	07	07	06	0	22	ES21	399366,84	390450,59	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	062	01	07	07	06	0	02	ES61	586941,86	544833,41	45295,88	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	063	01	07	07	04	0	22	ES61	240845,62	235468,51	0,00	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention Field	Form of Finance	Territorial Dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic Dimension	Location Dimension NUT 3	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of the operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to MA	Number of operations selected
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	063	01	07	07	04	0	05	ES13	81967,21	76086,71	12538,64	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	063	01	07	07	06	0	22	ES13	330287,62	322913,63	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	063	01	07	07	06	0	22	ES21	399366,84	390450,59	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	063	01	07	07	06	0	01	UKL1	2994000,00	2779204,12	83577,45	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	064	01	07	07	04	0	10	UKL1	364806,50	338634,51	8129,26	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	064	01	07	07	04	0	22	UKM4	308796,12	301901,94	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	064	01	07	07	04	0	05	ES13	409836,07	380433,56	62693,19	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	064	01	07	07	06	0	22	ES13	330287,62	322913,63	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	064	01	07	07	06	0	02	ES61	167697,67	155666,69	12941,68	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	065	01	07	07	04	0	10	PT17	784029,31	727781,39	3200,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	065	01	07	07	04	0	10	UKL1	1094419,50	1015903,54	24387,78	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	065	01	07	07	04	0	22	ES61	240845,62	235468,51	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	065	01	07	07	04	0	22	PT11	262518,06	256657,09	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	065	01	07	07	04	0	05	ES13	819672,13	760867,12	125386,39	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	065	01	07	07	04	0	05	IE01	504466,29	468274,82	2822,16	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention Field	Form of Finance	Territorial Dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic Dimension	Location Dimension NUT 3	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of the operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to MA	Number of operations selected
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	065	01	07	07	06	0	22	ES13	330287,62	322913,63	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	065	01	07	07	06	0	02	ES61	125773,26	116750,02	9706,26	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	068	01	07	07	04	0	22	ES61	240845,62	235468,51	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	068	01	07	07	04	0	22	UKM4	308796,12	301901,94	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	069	01	07	07	06	0	22	ES13	330287,62	322913,63	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	069	01	07	07	06	0	22	ES21	399366,84	390450,59	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	069	01	07	07	06	0	02	ES61	586941,86	544833,41	45295,88	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	071	01	07	07	04	0	11	ES11	1911646,43	1774500,88	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	071	01	07	07	04	0	22	ES61	240845,62	235468,51	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	071	01	07	07	04	0	22	FR61	484841,88	474017,32	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	071	01	07	07	04	0	22	PT11	262518,06	256657,09	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	071	01	07	07	04	0	05	ES13	245901,64	228260,14	37615,92	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	071	01	07	07	04	0	05	IE01	504466,29	468274,82	2822,16	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	071	01	07	07	06	0	11	FR52	1479950,00	1373775,26	0,00	1
Priority Axis 2	ERDF	071	01	07	07	06	0	02	ES61	125773,26	116750,02	9706,26	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention Field	Form of Finance	Territorial Dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic Dimension	Location Dimension NUT 3	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of the operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to MA	Number of operations selected
Priority Axis 3	ERDF	021	01	07	07	05	0	22	ES61	1241821,00	1079412,45	0,00	1
Priority Axis 3	ERDF	087	01	07	07	05	0	11	FR61	1585165,17	1577435,47	496751,98	1
Priority Axis 3	ERDF	087	01	07	07	05	0	22	ES21	1799372,57	1790598,34	9600,00	1
Priority Axis 3	ERDF	087	01	07	07	05	0	22	ES61	1241821,00	1079412,45	0,00	1
Priority Axis 3	ERDF	087	01	07	07	05	0	22	FR61	1671264,80	1452692,48	0,00	1
Priority Axis 3	ERDF	087	01	07	07	05	0	22	PT11	1011997,26	879645,65	0,00	1
Priority Axis 3	ERDF	087	01	07	07	05	0	22	PT17	1611620,16	1400848,32	0,00	1
Priority Axis 3	ERDF	087	01	07	07	05	0	02	IE01	909782,67	905346,32	5333,33	1
Priority Axis 3	ERDF	088	01	07	07	05	0	11	FR61	264194,19	262905,91	82792,00	1
Priority Axis 3	ERDF	088	01	07	07	05	0	20	ES11	1954138,80	1698571,49	0,00	1
Priority Axis 3	ERDF	088	01	07	07	05	0	22	ES21	1199581,71	1193732,23	6400,00	1
Priority Axis 3	ERDF	088	01	07	07	05	0	22	PT11	1011997,26	879645,65	0,00	1
Priority Axis 3	ERDF	088	01	07	07	05	0	22	PT17	1611620,16	1400848,32	0,00	1
Priority Axis 3	ERDF	088	01	07	07	05	0	02	IE01	1819565,33	1810692,64	10666,67	1
Priority Axis 3	ERDF	088	01	07	07	05	0	02	PT11	1470040,88	1277785,14	0,00	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention Field	Form of Finance	Territorial Dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic Dimension	Location Dimension NUT 3	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of the operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to MA	Number of operations selected
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	074	01	07	07	06	0	23	FR52	29556,65	29427,18	151,27	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	074	01	07	07	06	0	23	IE01	184191,91	183344,97	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	074	01	07	07	06	0	23	UKN0	198984,56	198069,60	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	075	01	07	07	06	0	23	IE01	594569,83	591835,92	0,00	2
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	075	01	07	07	06	0	23	PT18	728849,60	725656,91	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	075	01	07	07	06	0	23	UKN0	198984,56	198069,60	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	076	01	07	07	06	0	23	FR52	2955,67	2942,72	15,13	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	076	01	07	07	06	0	23	IE01	184191,91	183344,97	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	076	01	07	07	06	0	23	UKN0	198984,56	198069,60	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	077	01	07	07	06	0	23	FR52	2955,67	2942,72	15,13	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	077	01	07	07	06	0	23	IE01	184191,91	183344,97	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	077	01	07	07	06	0	23	UKN0	198984,56	198069,60	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	083	01	07	07	06	0	23	IE01	184191,91	183344,97	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	084	01	07	07	06	0	11	IE02	1952705,60	1944151,87	71056,36	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	084	01	07	07	06	0	23	IE01	184191,91	183344,97	0,00	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention Field	Form of Finance	Territorial Dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic Dimension	Location Dimension NUT 3	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of the operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to MA	Number of operations selected
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06	0	11	ES11	2599392,83	2588006,32	28906,10	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06	0	11	PT17	2853536,78	2841037,00	16000,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06	0	22	ES11	3176475,56	3162305,04	0,00	2
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06	0	22	ES13	2248434,16	2238585,00	180068,83	2
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06	0	22	ES21	827350,95	823546,68	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06	0	22	FR61	1107223,02	1102131,86	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06	0	22	IE01	440080,89	438153,14	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06	0	22	IE02	1400201,96	1393763,64	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06	0	23	FR52	2955,67	2942,72	15,13	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06	0	23	IE01	184191,91	183344,97	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06	0	02	ES11	2259736,00	2249837,34	10000,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06	0	11	ES11	649848,21	647001,58	7226,53	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06	0	22	ES11	1176597,76	1171187,61	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06	0	22	ES13	1658933,46	1651666,58	134829,40	2
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06	0	22	FR61	1107223,02	1102131,86	0,00	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention Field	Form of Finance	Territorial Dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic Dimension	Location Dimension NUT 3	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of the operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to MA	Number of operations selected
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06	0	22	IE02	1400201,96	1393763,64	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06	0	23	FR52	2955,67	2942,72	15,13	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06	0	23	IE01	184191,91	183344,97	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06	0	23	UKN0	198984,56	198069,60	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06	0	02	ES11	1355841,60	1349902,40	6000,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	090	01	07	07	06	0	23	FR61	218333,33	217376,93	9725,59	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	090	01	07	07	06	0	23	IE01	184191,91	183344,97	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06	0	22	ES13	328705,93	327266,05	2666,67	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06	0	22	IE01	440080,89	438153,14	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06	0	22	PT11	1459875,00	1453480,09	11400,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06	0	23	FR52	984236,45	979925,05	5037,17	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06	0	23	FR61	873333,33	869507,74	38902,36	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06	0	23	IE01	594569,83	591835,92	0,00	2
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06	0	23	PT18	728849,60	725656,91	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06	0	23	UKN0	198984,56	198069,60	0,00	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention Field	Form of Finance	Territorial Dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic Dimension	Location Dimension NUT 3	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of the operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to MA	Number of operations selected
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	092	01	07	07	06	0	22	ES13	301313,77	299993,88	2444,44	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	092	01	07	07	06	0	22	IE01	440080,89	438153,14	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	092	01	07	07	06	0	23	ES11	1315239,76	1309478,42	24423,06	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	092	01	07	07	06	0	23	FR52	984236,45	979925,05	5037,17	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	092	01	07	07	06	0	23	FR61	873333,33	869507,74	38902,36	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	092	01	07	07	06	0	23	IE01	594569,83	591835,92	0,00	2
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	092	01	07	07	06	0	23	PT11	455666,25	453670,23	4000,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	092	01	07	07	06	0	23	UKN0	198984,56	198069,60	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	093	01	07	07	06	0	22	ES13	301313,77	299993,88	2444,44	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	093	01	07	07	06	0	23	FR52	984236,45	979925,05	5037,17	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	093	01	07	07	06	0	23	FR61	873333,33	869507,74	38902,36	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	093	01	07	07	06	0	23	IE01	594569,83	591835,92	0,00	2
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	093	01	07	07	06	0	23	PT11	455666,25	453670,23	4000,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	093	01	07	07	06	0	23	UKN0	198984,56	198069,60	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06	0	22	ES13	109568,64	109088,68	888,89	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention Field	Form of Finance	Territorial Dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic Dimension	Location Dimension NUT 3	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of the operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to MA	Number of operations selected
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06	0	22	ES21	827350,95	823546,68	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06	0	22	IE01	440080,89	438153,14	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06	0	22	PT11	486625,00	484493,36	3800,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06	0	23	ES11	1315239,76	1309478,42	24423,06	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06	0	23	FR52	2955,67	2942,72	15,13	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06	0	23	FR61	873333,33	869507,74	38902,36	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06	0	23	IE01	594569,83	591835,92	0,00	2
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06	0	23	PT11	455666,25	453670,23	4000,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06	0	23	UKN0	198984,56	198069,60	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06	0	22	ES21	827350,95	823546,68	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06	0	22	IE01	440080,89	438153,14	0,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06	0	23	ES11	657619,88	654739,21	12211,53	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06	0	23	FR52	2955,67	2942,72	15,13	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06	0	23	FR61	873333,33	869507,74	38902,36	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06	0	23	IE01	594569,83	591835,92	0,00	2

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention Field	Form of Finance	Territorial Dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic Dimension	Location Dimension NUT 3	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of the operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to MA	Number of operations selected
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06	0	23	PT11	455666,25	453670,23	4000,00	1
Priority Axis 4	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06	0	23	UKN0	198984,56	198069,60	0,00	1
Priority Axis 5	ERDF	121	01	07	07	0	0	24	0	3325512,96	3325512,96	2593304,72	1
Priority Axis 5	ERDF	122	01	07	07	0	0	24	0	69281,52	69281,52	54027,18	1
Priority Axis 5	ERDF	123	01	07	07	0	0	24	0	69281,52	69281,52	54027,18	1

Table 6: Cumulative cost of all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area

1. Operation (2)	2. The amount of ERDF support(1) envisaged to be used for all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area based on selected operations	3. Share of the total financial allocation to all or part of an operation located outside the Union part of the programme area (%) (column 2/total amount allocated to the support from the ERDF at programme level *100)	4. Eligible expenditure of ERDF support incurred in all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area declared by the beneficiary to the managing authority	5. Share of the total financial allocation to all or part of an operation located outside the Union part of the programme area (%) (column 4/total amount allocated to the support from the ERDF at programme level *100)
ADSA	85 868	0,07%	0	0%
EMPORIA4KT	146 195	0,11%	0	0%
SEA-TRACES	433 760	0,33%	0	0%
AtlanticFoodExport	51 829	0,04%	0	0%
BLUEHUMAN	38 646	0,03%	0	0%
CephSandChefs	30 796	0,02%	0	0%
iFADO	21 143	0,02%	0	0%
KETmaritime	49	0,00%	0	0%
PROTOATLANTIC	17 143	0,01%	0	0%

1. Operation (2)	2. The amount of ERDF support(1) envisaged to be used for all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area based on selected operations	3. Share of the total financial allocation to all or part of an operation located outside the Union part of the programme area (%) (column 2/total amount allocated to the support from the ERDF at programme level *100)	4. Eligible expenditure of ERDF support incurred in all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area declared by the beneficiary to the managing authority	5. Share of the total financial allocation to all or part of an operation located outside the Union part of the programme area (%) (column 4/total amount allocated to the support from the ERDF at programme level *100)
SEAFOOD-AGE	260 298	0,20%	0	0%
ARCWIND	371 197	0,28%	0	0%
EERES4WATER	2 286	0,002%	0	0%
GeoAtlantic	58 011	0,04%	0	0%
HYLANTIC	26 857	0,02%	0	0%
PORTOS	28 800	0,02%	0	0%
REDAWN	250 128	0,19%	0	0%
SEAFUEL	24 818	0,02%	0	0%
@BluePortS	107 657	0,08%	0	0%
INTEGRATE	13 486	0,01%	0	0%
MyCOAST	10 457	0,01%	0	0%
Risk-AquaSoil	100 686	0,08%	0	0%
Triple-C	125 897	0,10%	0	0%
AGEO	2 286	0,002%	0	0%
3DPARE	27 429	0,02%	0	0%
CleanAtlantic	233 710	0,18%	0	0%
COCKLES	64 578	0,05%	0	0%
DiadES	34 294	0,03%	0	0%
EBB	104 949	0,08%	0	0%
MONITOOL	86 455	0,07%	0	0%
Atlantic-Geoparks	65 857	0,05%	0	0%
ATLANTICNETSKY	100 406	0,08%	0	0%
AtlaSWH	374 871	0,28%	0	0%

(1) ERDF support is the Commission decision on the respective cooperation programme.

(2) In accordance with and subject to ceilings set out Article 20 of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013.

4. SYNTHESIS OF THE EVALUATIONS (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013)

During 2018 the Evaluation Plan (EP) of the Atlantic Area was prepared by the Managing Authority (MA) and submitted by written consultation to the Monitoring Committee (MC). The version revised by the Member States (MS) was approved in September 2018.

The EP defines the evaluation strategy for the entire programming period and it is an important support instrument for several dimensions of the programme: decision making, implementation and result-orientation. Results of the evaluation activities are planned to be reported in the AIR for the years 2019 and 2022 (covering the previous year of implementation).

The first concrete milestone in the implementation of the EP came with the preparation of the Terms of Reference (ToR) for the midterm evaluation of the programme, approved by the MC in January 2019. The tender was launched in the same month by the MA.

The ToR structured the midterm evaluation on two different topics:

- Implementation Evaluation: effectiveness and efficiency of the programme (also the evaluation of the communication strategy);
- Impact Evaluation: on each priority axis and specific objective of the programme, encompassing the follow-up of result indicators.

The evaluation was commissioned to an external consultancy firm during the 1st quarter of 2019. The evaluation team carried out its work between March June.

At the time of writing, the inception and interim reports have been submitted and approved and, the final draft report was submitted to the MC for approval on 23rd May 2019. The written consultation was closed on June.

The document "MIDTERM EVALUATION OF THE INTERREG ATLANTIC AREA PROGRAMME 2014-2020", produced by the external firm, describes the evaluation of the programme. Main results are systematized below.

1 Governance of the Programme

- *"The PMC can be considered as the sovereign decision making body for the programme, fully complying with the Guiding Principles. This covers all the aspects related to the implementation of the Programme"*
- *"...a list of factors emerge in the evaluation as concerns the lack of a comprehensive and self-standing 'Annual Programme of Action' which will detail the activities and main milestones for the year, and could serve for monitoring purposes in the context of the 'Annual Programme Review', as reflected in the Guiding Principles on Governance for the Programme"*
- *"The decision making process was first based on consensus and this has been changed into majority vote, something which can contribute to avoid blocked situations and can render things smoother and more efficient"*
- *"Adequate and constant information and communication flows between the Management Authority and the Member States (in both ways) need to be ensured, so the latter are informed about all issues occurring during the implementation of the Programme"*

2 Calls for proposals appraisal

- *"Overall, beneficiaries are satisfied with the call for proposals process"*
- *"There was a long delay in the first call for proposals, especially during the contractualisation phase given the additional documentation that had to be submitted. This issue was corrected during the second call for proposals by requiring key documents to be provided beforehand"*
- *"The online platform sometimes did not fully work, which meant that there was a further delay in the application process"*

3 Programme management and human resources

- *"The performance of SIGI has been poor and continually signalled as a factor explaining the delay and low level of implementation of the Programme". See also the MidTerm Evaluation Report.*

"Measures have been taken to resolve this issue"

- *"The human resources assigned to the Programme in principle are adequate. The fact that the Director of the Joint Secretariat went on sick leave for 6 months also posed a higher strain on the team. Efforts were made by the MA to hire an additional temporary Project Officer, but it was not possible due to the short length of the contract offered"*

4 Main features of the projects' partnerships

- *"The number of partners participating in the programming period 2014 – 2020 has increased compared to the previous programming period of 2007 – 2013. Project partnerships present a good balance in terms of partners' typologies. Most beneficiaries believe their partnerships are balanced in terms of partners' typologies"*

- *"The participation of private companies in the Programme as partner beneficiaries has increased by more than six in comparison with the previous period, fully complying with the target of increasing the private sector's participation in the Programme. Still, nearly half of beneficiaries feel that the Programme could benefit from a higher participation of the private sector". See also the MidTerm Evaluation Report.*

- *"Spain and Portugal have the highest number of participating partners and also have the highest number of Lead Partners"*

- *"The Programme has been successful regarding the involvement of relevant stakeholders and in the involvement of important target regions such as islands. The percentage of partners who originate from islands participating in the Programme accounts for 6,50%. This is a positive indicator given that the Programme has a focus on insularity"*

5 Results so far

- *"([T]he majority of projects are at an early stage of implementation (...). Given the lack of clear results available, a limited sustainability perspective can be observed at this point of time. Nevertheless, the intensity and quality of sustainable actions varies between projects. The most advanced do have a portfolio of executed activities indicating they are on track to meet the sustainability target in the long run"*

- *"This trend can be observed thanks to (...) [the analysis of] some of the projects and corresponding actions the Team [external consultancy firm] has considered relevant to illustrate those efforts positively contributing to the overall performance of the Programme. As a matter of fact, this list of results relies not only on the nature of the products but also on the synergies derived from the involvement of broader stakeholders and the transnational impact they can have as whole"*

- *"([T]he Programme is foreseen and treating this capitalization aspect in a careful and comprehensive manner, having adopted the necessary steps, something which is appreciated in a positive manner by the evaluation team"*

- *"The construction of a comprehensive capitalisation supporting Plan at Programme level is at the centre of the evaluation team's suggestions as it would increase beneficiaries' understanding on the long-term objectives of the Programme as well as it will steadily contribute to the sustainability and consolidation of their results"*

6 Communication Strategy

- *"([T]he Communication activities carried out by the Programme are in line with those specified in the Programme Manual and Strategy"*

- *"The Programme is attracting the correct types of beneficiaries, although a bigger effort could be made in order to reach new potential beneficiaries outside the current network"*

- *"Beneficiaries are (...) happy with the Communication activities carried out by the Programme, although there is room for improvement (by disseminating more the events planned, reaching new target groups like*

the private sector)”

- “There is room for improvement also in terms of internal management of communication activities, where there could be specific meetings between the different Programme bodies on communication topics and planning of activities”

7 Horizontal principles

- “The Programme takes good note of the recommendations included in the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) report”

- “The existing environmental monitoring indicators are considered to be valid and adequate for measuring and quantifying the achievement of objectives and the accomplishment of outputs”

- “Although environmental and gender equality aspects are effectively taken into account in the selection procedure, there is still room for improvement in future programming periods”

8 Impact foreseen

- “[T]here are not enough elements to allow for any objective judgement on what the impacts of the Programme are with regard to the main elements of change in the Cooperation area or how these changes have been distributed in the Atlantic space”

- “The outlook for the Programme seems quite promising and specially the contribution of the Programme towards the development of the blue economy in the region, which could be substantial”

9 The Programme's interaction with the Atlantic Maritime Strategy

- “The relevance of the Atlantic Strategy for the INTERREG Area Programme is high and a solid and constant process in the alignment of both initiatives can be observed”

- “In view of the next programming period, a number of /suggestions emerged as a common denominator, including recommendations on stronger governance links in between the two bodies, more communication flows with the Atlantic Strategy Assistance Mechanism, market the programme as a significant potential funding tool for the Strategy, or that further streamlining the Programme with the Strategy could lead to a higher level of specialization”

10 Potential impact of Brexit to the Programme

- “[H]igh level of uncertainty regarding the exit of the United Kingdom from the European Union. However, the current projects contracted under INTERREG Atlantic Area 2014-2020 have received numerous assurances that a potential exit of the UK from the EU will not affect them in any way, as contracts have all been signed at the time of writing this report”

- “The UK is regarded as a key partner of INTERREG Atlantic Area and the Atlantic Maritime Strategy, and, in case of not being a European Union Member State, most stakeholders agree that they would like to see the UK continuing to be part of future versions of the Programme”

11 Lessons learnt and good practices

- “...projects are still at too early stages to derive any best practices already identified. These should be detected and analysed at a later stage of the Programme”

Despite the delay in the programme, mostly due to some constraints detailed in section 5, maintaining the evaluation as planned, in order to be reported now to the EC, was a quite important decision. In fact, the recommendations offered by the external evaluators will be crucial for the present programmatic period and also for a more constructive way to consider the future Atlantic Area programme.

5. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013)

(a) Issues which affect the performance of the programme and the measures taken

The Atlantic Area programme was only approved on 17 November 2015, almost two years after the start of the 2014-2020 programming period. Beyond some delays on the publication of the Common Provision Regulation and European Territorial Cooperation (ETC) regulations, this postponement was mainly due to the long negotiation process among the five Member States participating in the programme until the convergence to the final proposed cooperation programme.

Despite all the efforts implemented afterwards, the designation of the MA and the Certifying Authority was finalised just in the end of November 2017. Nevertheless, the programme was able to allocate the entire available budget to projects expected to be strategic for the Atlantic Area with the 2nd Call that ran in 2018. Moreover, the programme was able to comply with the targets established for this year.

Some issues have influenced the performance of the programme in 2018 and measures have been taken in order to reduce their impact. The main points are listed below.

Human Resources

The recruitment of the Administrative Officer started after the conclusion of the designation procedure, being finished in May 2018. The Director of the JS was on medical leave for 6 months, a situation that created a higher strain on the team. The Deputy Director, who is also a project manager, replaced the Director during her absence, assuming her role. In order to avoid the extra burden on the team, efforts were made by the MA to hire an additional project officer during this period to prevent imposing such an additional workload on the JS. However, it was not possible to implement this measure since the potential candidates were not interested in a short term contract, which was the only option the MA could offer due to the frequent medical certificates delivered by the Director to justify the leave: each certificate only lasted one month.

The Financial Officer went on maternity leave and the MA temporarily hired a temporary Financial Officer.

IT system

Efforts kept being taken towards the development of the integrated management and information system (SIGI) to implement the projects of the 1st call and, at the same time, adapt the system to a one step procedure for the 2nd call, differently from the 1st call that was run in two steps. 34 projects presented their 1st progress reports through the SIGI in 2018 and 115 proposals were submitted and assessed through the platform under call 2.

The on-line system SIGI has not been performing at the required level. Several problems were registered in the performance of SIGI, some related with the need to change the format of the 2nd call. These problems, together with the changes observed at the level of the human resources, had a negative impact on the governance and the implementation of the programme

Training and information

Due to the problems registered at the IT platform, a long list of measures has been established to train and inform stakeholders at all levels. These include interwork events to support applicants developing applications, training events about the use of the SIGI for beneficiaries and FLCs to explain the new system, facilitate adaptation to this new tool and coach them in its use. These sessions were carried out by the MA together with the JS and National Authorities (NA), at the MA premises and in each of the Member States (MS).

The Atlantic Area programme management structures (JS and MA) have also been elaborating handbooks to the programme beneficiaries/potential beneficiaries to facilitate the adaptation to the evolution of the IT system. As mentioned in section 2, a comprehensive "Applicant's User Guide" was elaborated to provide

full explanations to beneficiaries on how to fill in a progress report and payment claim.

Calls for proposals

There was a significant delay in the contractualization phase of the projects approved under call 1, mostly because of the additional documentation that had to be submitted. In fact, most documents were required to be submitted during the contractualization phase, after the selection of the project, and that meant a burden on project officers and on beneficiaries. This issue was solved during the second call for proposals (2018) by requiring key documents to be provided beforehand. Hence, there was a clear learning process and the programme was more agile in approving projects and signing the contracts for call 2.

One administrative task that continues to be an issue is the incorporation of partners from third countries (from outside the eligible area). This requires an excessively high number of administrative documents and contributes to delaying the whole process, since the subsidy contract cannot be signed before all partners from the consortium have the complete set of documents. However, the involvement of partners from third countries means significant added value for the programme and so this constraint should not imply that their access should be restricted. Instead, the reduction of the administrative burden should be rethought for the next programming period.

Brexit and the Interreg Atlantic Area programme

During 2018 there was a high level of uncertainty regarding the exit of the United Kingdom (UK) from the European Union. However, the current projects contracted under INTERREG Atlantic Area 2014-2020 have received numerous assurances that a potential exit of the UK from the EU will not affect them in any way. In order to avoid future problems, after the second call and the approval of projects, namely the contractualization phase, substantial efforts were put in place by the MA and the JS to guarantee that the subsidy contracts were signed before the 31st March 2019. Additionally, as stressed by the external evaluation of the programme, the UK is regarded as a key partner of INTERREG Atlantic Area and the Atlantic Maritime Strategy. Most stakeholders agree that they would like to see the UK continuing to be part of future versions of the programme.

(b) OPTIONAL FOR LIGHT REPORTS, otherwise it will be included in point 9.1. An assessment of whether progress made towards targets is sufficient to ensure their fulfilment, indicating any remedial actions taken or planned, where appropriate.

The information related to the achievement of goals for each of the priority axis is in section 9.1 of this report.

6. CITIZEN'S SUMMARY (ARTICLE 50(9) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)

The citizen's summary is public on the programme website.

7. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (ARTICLE 46 OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)

Not-applicable.

8. PROGRESS IN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND JOINT ACTION PLANS (Article 101(h) and Article 111(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(3)(b) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

Not-applicable.

8.1. Major projects

Table 7: Major projects

		MP	investments	eligible costs	notification/ submission date	agreement/ approval by Commission	start of implementation	completion date	axis/ Investment priorities	rate of realisation — financial progress (% of expenditure certified to Commission compared to total eligible cost)	rate of realisation — physical progress Main implementation stage of the project	outputs	signature of first works contract (1)	remarks

(1) In the case of operations implemented under PPP structures the signing of the PPP contract between the public body and the private sector body (Article 102(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013).

Significant problems encountered in implementing major projects and measures taken to overcome them

--

Any change planned in the list of major projects in the cooperation programme

--

8.2. Joint action plans

Progress in the implementation of different stages of joint action plans

--

Table 8: Joint action plans (JAP)

Title of the JAP	CCI	Stage of implementation of JAP	Total eligible costs	Total public support	OP contribution to JAP	Priority axis	Type of JAP	[Planned] submission to the Commission	[Planned] start of implementation	[Planned] completion	Main outputs and results	Total eligible expenditure certified to the Commission	Observations

Significant problems encountered and measures taken to overcome them

--

**PART B - REPORTING SUBMITTED IN YEARS 2017, 2019 AND FINAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT
(ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)**

9. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)

9.1 Information in Part A and achieving the objectives of the programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Priority axis 1	Stimulating innovation and competitiveness
<p>Under priority 1 the total number of projects approved is 27, representing an amount of ERDF EUR 47.1 million. Priority 1 has the highest number of running projects in the programme, representing 38% of the projects approved. 19 projects were approved under call 1 (ERDF EUR 35 million) and 8 projects were approved under call 2 (ERDF EUR 12.1 million).</p> <p>The implementation of the projects approved under call 1 is as planned and, in some cases, the output is higher than expected at this stage, due to the high number of actions and events already implemented. Innovation is a transversal theme having some actions or projects that could also be in priority 3, like the project Alertox-Net. Projects approved under call 2 only start in 2019.</p> <p>The projects approved under this Priority Axis aim to promote transnational cooperation in the field of innovation and intend to make an upgrade in the innovation capacity and performance of the territories involved.</p> <p>These projects are driven to contribute to foster competitiveness and trade for sectors in which Atlantic regions have competitive advantages. In this line, knowledge transfer and the exploitation of innovation results in order to accelerate the market access of new products, services and processes to generate trade and exports are main focus of the projects. Some of them have also identified the supply and demand of technology, favouring the development of applied innovation and trying to accelerate the access to the market of new added value products and services. Actually, contribution and achievements on this priority to the industrial and productive fabric are at the core of these projects.</p> <p>them count on key stakeholders in their field, providing common solutions and collaboration platforms. Their results aim to be integrated in regional development policies and have an impact in the modernisation of industrial, business, research and public administration processes. However it is too soon to assess this point.</p>	

Priority axis 2	Fostering resource efficiency
<p>Under priority 2 the total number of projects approved is 15, representing an amount of ERDF 31.6 EUR million, i.e. 21% of the projects approved. 9 projects were approved under Ccall 1 (ERDF EUR 19.3 million) and 6 projects were approved under call 2 (ERDF EUR 12.3 million).</p> <p>The development and consolidation of an adequate institutional, social and technological framework to promote energy efficiency and the production and/or distribution of renewable energies are the core of the projects' activities and objectives approved under this priority. Some of the projects aims to create new joint solutions to address the technological, legal, educational, financial and organisational barriers to the development of such energies. One of the main concerns is the transnational approach: joint</p>	

solutions for common problems. This is the reason why most of the approved projects also include a strategy to be present in regional policies and actions in this field (or at least influence them).

objects foster the cooperation between the institutional, social and industrial (or market) aspects as a way to promote joint responsibility for a sustainable development and a shift towards a resource efficient economy. Other projects are oriented towards increasing the use and the awareness on the renewable energies, to work with the relevant actors to promote eco-innovation and green growth. Networking, collaboration and support to the development of principles that contribute to a better quality of governance for a more dynamic, greener and more circular economy in the Atlantic Area are also the focus of some projects. Other example of the projects' objectives approved are the production of policy instruments such as green procurement, awards, awareness raising campaigns or direct business support instruments towards the fostering of green growth, eco-innovation and energy efficiency in the regions.

Priority axis 3	Stimulating innovation and competitiveness
<p>Under priority 3 the total number of projects approved is 9, representing an amount of ERDF 15.3 EUR million, i.e. 13% of the projects approved. 3 projects were approved under call 1 (ERDF EUR 5.7 million) and 6 projects were approved under call 2 (ERDF EUR 9.6 million).</p> <p>Priority axis 3 aims to strengthening the territory's resilience to risks of natural, climate and human origin. The objectives and activities of the projects approved under this priority are essentially to cope with the effects of climate change and human activities in their regions: through the strengthening of integrated territorial risk prevention, the improvement of the resilience management system or a mix these two goals.</p> <p>Some of the projects have the aim of raising awareness and put in place common surveillance, prevention and risk management strategies and tools. The main objectives are the improvement of risk prevention, recovery and regeneration actions and strategies contributing to reinforce the safety and security of the population and ecosystems. Other projects are more oriented, in a way, to the management of natural risks and the consequences derived from climate change and/or economic activities.</p> <p>priority with the least implementation so far. However, it is expected that the implementation of the indicators for this priority will accelerate with the projects of the 2nd call (to start in 2019), as they double the number approved in the first call.</p>	

Priority axis 4	Enhancing biodiversity and the natural and cultural assets
<p>With the second largest budget, priority axis 4 enhancing biodiversity and the natural and cultural assets, was one of the most successful priorities in terms of approvals. The total number of projects approved is 21, representing a total amount of ERDF 40.3 EUR million, i.e. 29% of the projects approved. 14 projects were approved under call 1 (ERDF EUR 27.7 million) and 7 projects were approved under call 2 (ERDF EUR 12.6 million).</p> <p>The project's objectives under this priority are, in most of the cases, the development and improvement of joint environmental management systems and solutions to protect and preserve the Atlantic biodiversity and natural ecosystems. Other projects aim to preserve and valorise the rich cultural and natural heritage of their regions in order to enhance their attractiveness to catch new visitors and/or develop new local jobs and economic activity. Projects aim to contribute to the protection of the</p>	

biodiversity and natural ecosystems of the region, by promoting a more integrated and sustainable environmental approach which considers economic and social issues in the management of resources and natural spaces. For these purposes, partnerships include key relevant stakeholders. Examples of other projects approved under this priority involves objectives related to the preservation, capitalization and improvement of the management of the natural landscapes and cultural identity, traditional economic and productive activities in order to increase its economic return.

of assessment, it is relevant to highlight the following. One of the projects in priority 4 is facing a very slow implementation but the JS and the MA are working with the lead partner in order to speed up the implementation. EBB a project of this priority was the winner of the Atlantic Project Awards in the “Atlantic Marine and Coastal Environment” category.

Priority axis 5	Technical Assistance
<p>Under the specific objective 5.1, the main goal is to perform a sound and simplified management and control system, in order to ensure an effective monitoring at the level of the programme and the projects.</p> <p>The programme develops efforts for a regular coordination and exchange with other ETC programmes and continues to strengthen linkages among programme bodies. Substantial efforts have been made to implement the relevant communication and publicity strategy in view of improving the visibility and capitalisation of results for programme beneficiaries as well as for stakeholders.</p> <p>Under the specific objective 5.2, the Programme intends to be more visible not only for direct beneficiaries, but also for the public, social and business decision makers, as well as for European organisations, public opinion and citizens.</p> <p>With specific training sessions and guiding material (manuals, guides, etc.), the programme expects an increased capacity of applicants and beneficiaries to participate in the programme with high quality projects, contributing with tangible and visible outcomes. The management structures are working towards more harmonised and simplified procedures and rules to reduce the administrative burden, guarantee lower operating costs and improve time efficiency in project implementation, while ensuring reporting validation, funds reimbursements and audits at the right time and with absolute transparency.</p>	

In sum, the programme is currently funding 72 projects, approved to address common problems to the Atlantic Area and strive to find better solutions for the Atlantic regions and thus for Europe as a whole, under the 4 thematic priorities.

These projects represent a total investment of EUR 179.4 million, being EUR 134.5 million of ERDF funding, allocated to 726 institutions from the Atlantic regions and beyond. 45 of these projects were approved in 2017 under call 1 with a total ERDF budget of EUR 87.8 million and 27 projects were approved in 2018 under call 2 with a total ERDF budget of EUR 46.7 million.

In terms of assessment for the cut-off date end of 2018, the figures related to the physical and financial execution of the programme are still low (despite achieving all except one of the approved milestones for 2018). Details for the execution are presented in sections 3 and 12 of this document. The approved projects carried out their activities and implemented their respective work plan in accordance to the approved application forms.

Progress at the level of projects is still limited, as concluded in the external evaluation report elaborated

by ECORYS, delivered in May 2019 and approved by the MC in June. Nevertheless, the same report also concludes that the outlook for the programme seems quite promising, highlighting its contribution to the development of the blue economy in the region.

9.2. Specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, in particular accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (d) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

An assessment of the implementation of specific actions to take into account the principles set out in Article 7 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 on promotion of equality between men and women and non-discrimination, including, where relevant, depending on the content and objectives of the cooperation programme, an overview of specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, including accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations.

During 2018, the Atlantic Area Programme launched the second call of projects. The one-stage application form explicitly considered the principles on equality and non-discrimination (section 4.9 Horizontal principles). All the project applications identified throughout the questions equal opportunities and non-discrimination and gender equality (specific actions to ensure equality between men and women, for example promoting equal pay for all, mainly between women and men) and estimating their impact (negative, neutral, positive). More than 80% of the projects which were approved, analyzed and assessed the project's impact as positive, and the other 20% as neutral.

Including this information in the application form has allowed applicants to make a self-assessment in the execution of the project in order to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, in particular accessibility for persons with disabilities.

Apart from the application and assessment phases, the progress reports that projects have to submit to the MA through the JS (two progress reports in each twelve months period) include a specific section on horizontal principles that allow reporting about achieved measures and actions on this dimension. The programme can gather the associated statistical data and include this information in annual reports as soon as the reporting starts. With this reporting, projects may improve their positive impact on horizontal principles.

Hence, the programme complies with article 7 of Regulation (EU) No. 1303/2013 on the promotion of equality between men and women and the principle of non-discrimination.

9.3. Sustainable development (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (e) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

An assessment of the implementation of actions to take into account the principles set out in Article 8 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 on sustainable development, including, where relevant, depending on the content and objectives of the cooperation programme, an overview of the actions taken to promote sustainable development in accordance with that Article

Environmental protection requirements, resource efficiency, climate change mitigation and adaptation, biodiversity and disaster resilience are key topics in many of our projects. One of the core priorities of the Atlantic Area Programme is to protect the environment. The overall Programme strategy was set up,

based on this priority

Six specific objectives (out of seven) are addressing environmental needs and challenges, and contribute to improving and enhancing regional policies and strategies related to sustainable development. In particular, the programme seeks to promote resource-efficiency regions and territories by:

- Enhancing renewable energy (specific objective 2.1), 10 approved projects, 22,4M€ ERDF;
- Fostering green-growth and eco-innovation (specific objective 2.2), 5 approved projects, 9,2M€ ERDF;
- To improve the environmental management for climate change adaptation and risk prevention by strengthening integrated territorial management and risk prevention and resilience (specific objective 3.1), 9 approved projects, 15,3M€ ERDF;
- To capitalise on the attractiveness of the Atlantic natural and cultural heritage through the enhancement of the natural and cultural heritage exploitation (specific objective 4.1), 12 approved projects, 23M€ ERDF;
- The promotion of biodiversity protection and ecosystems' services (specific objective 4.2), 9 approved projects, 17,3M€.

The above mentioned contributions correspond to 63% of the projects approved.

The horizontal principle of sustainable development has been considered and requested through calls for proposals and during the implementation of each project. In the application form the applicant has to indicate the horizontal principle, the positive/negative/neutral effect on the horizontal principle and to describe it.

9.4. Reporting on support used for climate change objectives (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Calculated amount of support to be used for climate change objectives based on the cumulative financial data by category of intervention in Table 7

Priority axis	Amount of support to be used for climate change objectives (EUR)	Proportion of total allocation to the operational programme (%)
1	- €	0,00%
2	15 714 054 €	11,94%
3	7 373 268 €	5,60%
4	20 366 585 €	15,47%
Total	43 453 907 €	33,02%
Total allocation for the cooperation programme : 131 612 403€		

As mentioned in section 2 of the Approved Cooperation Programme, the Atlantic Area addresses climate

change through priority axis 3, “Strengthening the territory’s resilience to risks of natural, climate and human origin”. Under the 1st Call (2016) and 2nd call (2018), 9 projects have been approved under this specific objective, which corresponds to 13% of the projects approved by the Programme.

9.5 Role of partners in the implementation of the cooperation programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 1, (c) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

Assessment of the implementation of actions to take into account the role of partners referred to in Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013, including involvement of the partners in the implementation, monitoring and evaluation of the cooperation programme

In 2018 the PMC Presidency was under the responsibility of the UK. The Programme organised 2 PMC meetings: 1 in June in Belfast, mainly to discuss the programme state-of-play, N+3 performance target, indicators and analysis of data related with Call 2 applications and another one in October in Cardiff, to select the projects to be financially supported under Call 2 and update of Call 1 projects, programme evaluation and mid-term assessment.

Some of the most relevant decisions made by the PMC were: launch of Call 2, approval of 27 projects, evaluation plan, Technical Assistance budget, revised Programme Manual, sub-criteria for evaluation of Call 2 applications, Annual Implementation Report and the decision to submit a modification request of the Approved Cooperation Programme to the EC, prepared by the MA and approved in 12.2018. According to the Midterm Evaluation there is a need to make the PMC decision-making process more agile. No NC meetings were organised during 2018.

Moreover, all NCs had a relevant role providing assistance to beneficiaries and potential beneficiaries on each country providing support through meetings, phone calls, emails or organising events.

The Portuguese NC, *Agência para o Desenvolvimento e Coesão*, held 13 technical bilateral meetings with 49 Portuguese beneficiaries, representing 11 projects. They also organised a joint technical workshop for Portuguese beneficiaries and FLC with the JS in Porto. The programme was also disseminated through [the Agência website](#).

The UK NC, Department for Communities and Local Government organised 5 informative sessions about Call 2 in collaboration with UK Universities and Devolved Administrations. They also provided support to applicants of Call 2 through meetings, calls or emails and organised 3 training sessions for UK partners and 1 for the FLC. Moreover, they represented the programme in several events, such as Horizon 2020 annual event, Marine Energy Wales conference or the Atlantic Strategy event. The Atlantic Area was also disseminated through their [Twitter account](#).

The Spanish NC, *Ministerio de Hacienda y Función Pública*, organised 2 seminars, one about the programme and the other on financial issues addressed to Spanish beneficiaries and controllers. These events reached a total of 215 attendees. They also participated in 2 Forums of Regional Economy and at the *Congreso Nacional del Medio Ambiente 2018*, reaching a total of 135 participants, to disseminate the work and progress of the Programme. The programme was also disseminated through [the Ministerio website](#).

The Irish NC, Northern and Western Regional Assembly, participated in 7 meetings and in 4 events to promote the programme (Southern Regional Assembly Members meeting, Presentation to Sligo IT Research Staff, Regional Tourism Think Tank, NUI Galway-Hydrogen Event). They also organised a training session to Irish partners, a Call 2 full review meeting and a meeting with Eastern Regional Assembly and DPER related with Call 2. The Atlantic Area was also disseminated through their [Twitter account](#).

The French NC, *Préfecture de la région Pays de la Loire*, organized 2 sessions addressed to French

beneficiaries of Call 1. They also participated in 2 events where they had the opportunity to present the Atlantic Area Programme: a meeting with territorial cooperation stakeholders and at a Committee of the general direction of Pays de la Loire. The programme was also disseminated through [their website](#).

10. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1 (A) AND (B), OF REGULATION (EU) No 1299/2013

10.1 Progress in implementation of the evaluation plan and the follow-up given to the findings of evaluations

Once the Evaluation Plan was approved in September 2018, the Terms of Reference for the midterm evaluation of the Interreg Atlantic Area Programme 2014-2020 were elaborated by the Managing Authority (MA) during the last quarter of 2018 and approved by the Monitoring Committee in January 2019.

As already mentioned in section 4, a public procurement process was launched by the MA in the first quarter of 2019 and an external consultancy firm was selected and commissioned to carry out the first evaluation exercise of the programme in the current programming period. At the time of writing, the inception and interim reports have been submitted and approved and, the final draft report was submitted to the MC for approval on 23rd May 2019. The written consultation was closed on June, with some suggestions from the Member States, which were sent to the external evaluators for analysis. This document contains 14 operational and strategic recommendations proposed in order to contribute for higher achievements in terms of effectiveness and efficiency by the Atlantic Area programme. These recommendations cover aspects related to governance, management, the calls for proposals, the future capitalization of the results of the programme, creating even stronger links with the Atlantic maritime strategy or enlarging the typology of projects to be supported in the future.

The follow up of those recommendations will be carried out mainly during 2019.

The above exercise of evaluation and its results provide important insights for the programme authorities. In fact, it offered an overview of the overall functioning of the programme, highlighting main opportunities for improvement, as well as identifying good practices to positively influence the management tools and contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the Interreg Atlantic Area. A synthesis of the main results gathered from this evaluation is presented in section 4.

Some constraints faced by the programme (detailed in section 5) meant a not negligible delay in its implementation, which could sustain a decision to postpone the external assessment for a time period featured by more results. Nevertheless, as already mentioned in this Annual Implementation Report (AIR), it was very important to keep the decision to implement the external evaluation of the programme in 2019, based on the performance observed until the end of 2018. It allowed the programme authorities to comply with the strong recommendation done by the European Commission to do the midterm assessment in 2019 and report it in the present AIR. More important, the recommendations offered by the external evaluators will be crucial for the present programmatic period since there is still scope for substantial enhancement and also for a more constructive way to consider the future Atlantic Area programme.

As above mentioned, the follow up of these recommendations will be done during 2019, by taking the necessary measures to orient the programme towards a higher capitalisation of results and towards better governance, guaranteeing a more transparent and well-organized interaction between stakeholders, and increasing the effective and efficient implementation of the programme. These improvements will mean more capacity-building in management systems and human resources, bringing more efficient allocation of resources and more quality, transparency, flexibility and resilience. The constructive path that will follow

the external assessment will contribute decisively for achieving the targets of the Atlantic Area defined for 2023.

10.2 The results of the information and publicity measures of the Funds carried out under the communication strategy

In accordance with the midterm evaluation performed by Ecorys, the communication activities carried out by the programme are in line with those specified in the programme manual and communication strategy. Activities were implemented in compliance with EC requirements, contributing to the final responsibility to communicate decisions and activities to European citizens.

Also according to this evaluation, beneficiaries are quite satisfied with communication, although mentioning there is room for improvement. Moreover, the assessment considered that, through the communication strategy, the programme is attracting the correct types of beneficiaries, with an increased participation of the private sector.

A substantial amount of work was associated to the follow-up of the implementation of the projects of Call 1, the preparation of Call 2, as well as the compliance with the Performance Framework.

Website (www.atlanticarea.eu): has all the information and guidance related to the main activities, initiatives, events and information on project implementation, addressed to current beneficiaries, applicants, programme bodies, key actors and European citizens: total of 44 news published and 195,075 visits.

Two main areas were created: “Call 2-2018”, addressed to potential beneficiaries, and “Projects Approved” with information about projects of Call 1.

The following documents were uploaded:

- Call 2: Announcement; Terms of Reference; FAQs; Templates: Application Form; Co-financing declaration for Lead Partner and Partners; Legal Representative Letter; Legal Appointed Representative Letter; Subsidy Contract; Partnership Agreement.
- Advancement Request; Regularity Declarations; Partner and Project Progress Report; Modifications Request; Templates: Certificate of Expenditure - Desk Control Statement; Control Report; On-the-spot Control Statement; Control Procedures Supervision Statement; Desk Controlled Documents; On-the-spot Controlled Documents;
- Programme Manual - update of sections 5, 6 and 7; available in 4 languages;
- Guide to request an advancement payment; guide to add users on the project area;
- Updated documents with new logo: Brand Design Manual, Guidelines for Projects Communication, programme logos, editable logos for the approved projects;
- Template for project posters A3, 4 priorities;
- Citizen Summary in 4 languages;
- List of operations of Call 1;
- Approved revised Atlantic Area Programme/milestones modification;
- Annual Implementation Report 2017;
- Administrative Officer, job description;
- Evaluation Plan.

Social media: the programme manages a Facebook ([facebook/AtlanticArea](https://www.facebook.com/atlanticarea)) and a Twitter accounts

([@AtlanticArea](#)) to reach potential beneficiaries, beneficiaries, stakeholders and the general public, addressing the programme and projects' events, specific messages, with links to the programme website or other media sources.

The Facebook page had a total of 95 posts and 978 total followers. The most viewed post was related with the opening of a job position for Administrative Officer (4365 people reached), followed by the Atlantic Awards winner, our EBB project (1865 people reached).

The Twitter account was the most used tool: 1845 followers, 10354 visits, 378 publications, resulting in a total of 68371 times the posts were seen. The top post was related with EBB project prize on the 3rd edition of the Atlantic Project Awards (4.377 people reached).

Brand identity: the Interreg Atlantic Area logo was modified increasing the size of the EU emblem, to give greater visibility to the EU emblem, as well as to all project or institutional logos to be placed in every communication materials (Art. 4, point 5 Regulation 821/2014).

Events: The JS organised 4 events and participated in 12 events organized by relevant stakeholders, being present at 3 stands exhibitions (EWRC, 5th Atlantic Stakeholder and Business2Sea Forum) and organising 1 plenary session and 1 workshop (5th Atlantic Stakeholder); in 8 of these events the Secretariat and the Managing Authority participated as speakers. The JS also participated in 3 project kick-off meetings and in 3 network meetings promoted by Interact.

The JS/MA organised the following events:

- Training session for Lead Partners of projects approved in Call 1, February, Porto (45 participants);
- Informative session about Call 2, May, Porto (120 participants) + webstreaming session (582 views);
- Workshop for Portuguese beneficiaries and First Level Controllers, July, Porto (80 participants);
- Interreg Atlantic Area annual event, October, Vigo (190 participants).

The events in which the JS/MA participated were the following:

- OCEANWISE kick-off meeting, January, Lisbon;
- AYCH Monitoring Committee meeting, February, Santo Tirso;
- SEATRACES kick-off meeting, February, Vigo;
- 2 Training sessions for British and Irish partners, March, Bath, Glasgow and Dublin;
- Interact session: AIR and Performance Review, March, Lisbon;
- Financial seminar for beneficiaries and controllers of the 1st Call, April, Madrid;
- 2 Training session about the Atlantic Area Programme, April, Bordeaux et Rennes;
- Transnational Programmes network meeting and 5th informal meeting for the preparation of Interreg programmes Post-2020, May, Brussels;
- Meeting of the INFORM network of EU cohesion policy communicators, May, Crete;
- Interact 2nd Conference: Let's practice capitalisation, June, Alicante;
- First Level Control Training session, June, London;
- Interreg project Management Camp, July, Wroclaw;
- South-West Europe financial seminar, July, Madrid;
- Interreg join exhibition at the European Week of Cities and Regions, October, Brussels;

- Exhibition, plenary session and workshop in the 5th Atlantic Stakeholder Conference, October, Vigo;
- Exhibition in the 8th edition of the Business2Sea/Forum do Mar'18, November, Porto.

The National Correspondents also organised and participated in several events and meetings on issues of ETC, to disseminate the work and progress of the Programme, as described in section 9.5.

Publications:

- i) "10 things to know about transnational cooperation", elaborated with other Interreg programmes to present the added value and achievements of transnational cooperation in the European countries and regions they address, identifying good projects with positive impact in this area;
- ii) Interact E-Book "Connecting Cultures, Connected Citizens"-AYCH, MMIAH and ATLASWH were presented as good examples of cultural heritage cooperation projects.

Merchandising: Production of gadgets for popularization of the Programme: agendas, notebooks, pens, pencils, key rings, umbrellas, covers, mugs, bags.

Press on the Press: 25 references to the programme or projects identified on digital media. The projects approval and funding to partners' institutions were the core thematic. The programme also sent a press release to the national media: "Interreg Atlantic Area programme approves an investment of EUR 117.3 million in 45 European cooperation projects".

11. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2 (A), (B), (C) AND (F), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)

11.1 Progress in implementation of the integrated approach to territorial development, including integrated territorial investments, sustainable urban development, and community-led local development under the cooperation programme

The programme applies an integrated approach to territorial development by building on the Atlantic Area territorial assets, national and regional territorial strategies and challenges.

Projects approved by the programme address territorial challenges, link to relevant national and European territorial development policies (e.g. smart specialisation strategies, regional ERDF programmes) or follow a cross-sectoral approach.

In the framework of the two past calls for proposals (2016 and 2018), applicants were requested to describe the common challenge they were aiming to tackle and how relevant the issue / challenge addressed was to the Atlantic Area territory. The partnerships also needed to give an overview of the transnational approach. One of the most important quality selection criterion assesses the need for transnational cooperation in view of contributing to face common territorial issues (i.e. why the project objectives cannot be reached acting only on a national/regional/local level). Therefore, the approved projects address the principles of integrated territorial development as outlined in the Approved Cooperation Programme.

Approved projects have a multi-level approach, i.e. involving different levels of governance and types of organisations to help improving effectiveness of territorial solutions. Moreover, cooperation brings together organisations from the private, public and third sector, such as cooperatives, not-for-profit organisations and Non-Governmental Organisations (NGOs), helping to address common challenges.

The specific approach on integrated actions for sustainable urban development or the community-led local development instruments, as defined in article 8(3) of the ETC Regulation, are not applied to the Atlantic Area programme. However, social innovation projects (development of pilot actions on knowledge

exchange and collaboration tools: e.g. social innovation platforms, social innovation clusters, observatories, web-based platforms) are included on the specific objective 1.1 “strengthening the transfer of innovation results to facilitate the emergence of new products, services and processes”.

11.2 Progress in implementation of actions to reinforce the capacity of authorities and beneficiaries to administer and to use the ERDF

Several measures were agreed on in the Cooperation Programme to reduce the administrative burden and to improve the implementation. They are stated in the Programme’s Manual. The main examples are listed below.

Simplified rules

The introduction of simpler and harmonized eligibility rules seems to be successful so far, for example a lump sum for preparation costs for all projects approved, a flat rate for the budget line ‘office and administration’ (15% of staff costs) and staff costs calculated as a 20 % flat rate of direct costs (all costs other than staff costs and office & administration costs). The Programme has also reduced the number of budget lines. These measures have been very helpful for the certification of expenditures, submission of payment claims and also application procedures.

Simplifying application procedures

For the Programme’s 2nd Call for projects that took place in 2018, a one-step process was implemented which proved to be more efficient in terms of workload and time spent in the assessment and approval of applications. In this way, several documents related to the project contracting process were requested as mandatory, being an eligibility criteria, speeding up the process after the project’s approval. As the midterm evaluation emphasizes, there was a clear learning process from the first to the second call.

Result oriented management

The Programme also adopted a streamlined indicator system which was well received by beneficiaries due to its simplification, thematic concentration, etc.

has been working to improve governance and to guarantee a more transparent and well-organized interaction between stakeholders. This is crucial for the effective and efficient implementation of the Programme and will have a positive impact in all areas of administrative capacity. This is of major importance in a transnational programme, with a complex multi-level governance model.

11.3 Contribution to macro-regional and sea basin strategies (where appropriate)

As stipulated by the Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013, recital 19, article 8(3)(d) on the "Content, adoption and amendment of cooperation programmes" and article 14(4) 2nd subparagraph (c) "Implementation reports", this programme contributes to MRS(s) and/or SBS:

The relevance of the Atlantic Strategy for the INTERREG Atlantic Area programme is high and a solid and constant process in the alignment of both initiatives can be observed. The programme contributes actively to the implementation of the EU Atlantic Strategy as the coherence and alignment between the Atlantic Action Plan (AAP) and the cooperation programme show. The priority axes, thematic objectives and specific objectives of the programme are in line with the AAP. The only mismatch occurs at the level of the actions to improve accessibility and connectivity, which have not been prioritised under the programme since the evaluation of the programme for the period 2007-2013 showed a very scarce demand for

projects under former priority 3 related to accessibility and transport.

The guiding principles for the selection of operations foresee that, when applicable, projects should show their coherence with and contribution to the Atlantic Area Maritime Strategy. In this respect, under section 4.10 of the application form, project promoters are explicitly asked if the project is based on one of the Atlantic Strategy priorities and, if so, which one. In this sense, more than 90% of the approved projects reflect this alignment with one of the priorities of the Strategy.

Similarly, projects' progress and final reports have specific sections (sections 3.10.1 and 3.10.2) where, if relevant, projects need to explain how the activities and results achieved contribute to the AAP.

Due to the fact that the physical and financial execution of the Programme is still low during 2018, concrete project's good practices towards a positive contribution to the Atlantic strategy cannot be extracted yet. Nevertheless, positive and very promising signals towards this end can be observed in our projects.

Taking into account what was mentioned above, two main facts are clear and must be highlighted: (i) there is a strong alignment between the INTERREG Atlantic Area programme and the Atlantic Strategy; (ii) the programme is a very important provider for the sea basin strategy.

The Atlantic Strategy can also be regarded as a pathway to achieve a higher level of specialization, so the Programme could be visualized as a "differentiated" and "highly specialised" INTERREG programme, which could eventually generate more interest among large scale stakeholders operating in the field of the blue economy in the Atlantic region.

Subsequently, stronger links with the Atlantic Strategy could also result in being able to generate "structuring projects", thus facilitating a bigger impact of the programme.

Finally, in 2018, the programme participated in several events and meetings related to the sea basin strategy, namely:

- Business 2 Sea – Forum do Oceano "European funding programmes to reinforce competitiveness in maritime sector", June, Porto;
- 5th Atlantic Stakeholder Platform Conference, 23-24 October in Vigo, with the organisation of a project's workshop, participation in the plenary session and exhibition stands.

- ☐ EU Strategy for the Baltic Sea Region (EUSBSR)
- ☐ EU Strategy for the Danube Region (EUSDR)
- ☐ EU Strategy for the Adriatic and Ionian Region (EUSAIR)
- ☐ EU Strategy for the Alpine Region (EUSALP)
- ☒ Atlantic Sea Basin Strategy (ATLSBS)

ATLSBS**Priority(s) and objective(s) that the programme is relevant to:**

	Priority	Objective
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Promote entrepreneurship and innovation	1.1 - Sharing knowledge between higher education organisations, companies and research centers
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Promote entrepreneurship and innovation	1.2 - Enhancement of competitiveness and innovation capacities in the maritime economy of the Atlantic area
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Promote entrepreneurship and innovation	1.3 - Fostering adaptation and diversification of economic activities by promoting the potential of the Atlantic area
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Protect, secure and develop the potential of the Atlantic marine and coastal environment	2.1 - Improving maritime safety and security
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Protect, secure and develop the potential of the Atlantic marine and coastal environment	2.2 - Exploring and protecting marine waters and coastal zones
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Protect, secure and develop the potential of the Atlantic marine and coastal environment	2.3 - Sustainable management of marine resources
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Protect, secure and develop the potential of the Atlantic marine and coastal environment	2.4 - Exploitation of the renewable energy potential of the Atlantic area's marine and coastal environment
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Improve accessibility and connectivity	3.1 - Promoting cooperation between ports
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 - Create a socially inclusive and sustainable model of regional development	4.1 - Fostering better knowledge of social challenges in the Atlantic area
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 - Create a socially inclusive and sustainable model of regional development	4.2 - Preserving and promoting the Atlantic's cultural heritage

Actions or mechanisms used to better link the programme with the Atlantic SBS**A. Are the Sea Basin Strategic coordinators (mainly National Coordinators, Priority Area Coordinators or members, and/or Objective coordinators or members) participating in the Monitoring Committee of the programme?**Yes ☒ No ☐**Name and function**

The chair of the Atlantic Strategy in 2018, is
--

B. In selection criteria, have extra points been attributed to specific measures supporting the ATLSBS?

Yes ☐ No ☒

C. Has the programme invested EU funds in the ATLSBS?

Yes ☐ No ☒

Does your programme plan to invest in the EUSAIR in the future? Please elaborate (1 specific sentence)

Difficult to foresee at this stage.

D. Obtained results in relation to the ATLSBS (n.a. for 2016)

From the 72 projects of the programme, 66 participate in one of the priorities of the Atlantic Strategy :

- 31 projects address the Priority 1 of the Strategy "Promote entrepreneurship and innovation";
- 23 projects address the Priority 2 of the Strategy "Protect, secure and enhance the marine and coastal environment;
- 1 Project addresses the Priority 3 of the Strategy "Improve accessibility and connectivity";
- 11 Projects address the Priority 4 of the Strategy "Create a socially inclusive and sustainable model of Regional Development".

The following list indicates to which strategic objective each project contributes:

First call for Proposals (2016):

EAPA_21/2016	ADSA 1.1
EAPA_196/2016	SAFER 1.1
EAPA_246/2016	ATLANTIC-SOCIAL-LAB 1.1
EAPA_358/2016	CONSORTEX 1.1
EAPA_383/2016	IN 4.0 1.1
EAPA_384/2016	ATLANTIC-KET-MED 1.1
EAPA_87/2016	SEA-TRACES 1.1
EAPA_46/2016	CLEANATLANTIC1.2
EAPA_151/2016	BLUEHUMAN 1.2
EAPA_165/2016	IFADO 1.2
EAPA_282/2016	CEPHSANDCHEFS 1.2
EAPA_303/2016	PROTOATLANTIC 1.2
EAPA_304/2016	DAIRY-4-FUTURE 1.2
EAPA_317/2016	ALERTOX-NET 1.2
EAPA_338/2016	ENHANCEMICROALGAE 1.2
EAPA_466/2016	ATLANTICFOODEXPORT 1.2

EAPA_468/2016	4H-CREAT1.2
EAPA_595/2016	KETMARITIME 1.2
EAPA_455/2016	USER-FACTOR 1.2
EAPA_190/2016	SEAFUEL 2.1
EAPA_198/2016	REDAWN 2.1
EAPA_204/2016	HYLANTIC 2.1
EAPA_333/2016	MONITOR 2.1
EAPA_344/2016	ARCWIND 2.1
EAPA_527/2016	GEOATLANTIC 2.1
EAPA_232/2016	INTEGRATE 2.2
EAPA_451/2016	NASPA 2.2
EAPA_550/2016	@BLUEPORTS 2.2
EAPA_182/2016	PRIMROSE 3.1
EAPA_272/2016	RISK-AQUASOIL 3.1
EAPA_285/2016	MYCOAST 3.1
EAPA_174/2016	3DPARE 4.1
EAPA_252/2016	OCEANWISE 4.1
EAPA_261/2016	ALICE 4.1
EAPA_458/2016	COCKLES 4.1
EAPA_501/2016	EBB 4.1
EAPA_565/2016	MONITOOL 4.1
EAPA_224/2016	MOSES 4.1
EAPA_166/2016	ESSTIMIT4.1
EAPA_24/2016	ATLANTICONBIKE 4.2
EAPA_108/2016	AYCH 4.2
EAPA_133/2016	ATLANTICNETSKY 4.2
EAPA_250/2016	ATLANTIC-GEOPARKS 4.2
EAPA_362/2016	MMIAH 4.2
EAPA_461/2016	CAPITEN 4.2
EAPA_631/2016	ATLASWH 4.2
EAPA_758/2018	SEAFOOD-AGE
Second call for proposals (2018)	
EAPA_791/2018	NEUROATLANTIC 1.1

EAPA_842/2018	EMPORIA4KT 1.1
EAPA_1071/2018	AHFES 1.1
EAPA_758/2018	SEAFOOD-AGE 1.2
EAPA_936/2018	BODAH 1.2
EAPA_1022/2018	FAN-BEST 1.2
EAPA_1055/2018	AT-VIRTUAL 1.2
EAPA_1059/2018	ACCESS2SEA 1.2
EAPA_784/2018	PORTOS 2.1
EAPA_915/2018	Blue-GIFT 2.1
EAPA_986/2018	DURABLE 2.1
EAPA_1058/2018	EERES4WATER 2.1
EAPA_117/2018	CIRCULARSEAS 2.2
EAPA_576/2018	NEPTUNUS 2.2
EAPA_826/2018	SIRMA 3.1
EAPA_884/2018	PAGEO 3.1
EAPA_998/2018	AGRITOX 3.1
EAPA_590/2018	NANOCULTURE 3.1
EAPA_18/2018	DIADES 4.1
EAPA_52/2018	JONAS 4.1
EAPA_134/2018	CABFISHMAN 4.1
EAPA_800/2018	ATLANTIC-POSITIVE 4.1
EAPA_630/2018	TIDE 4.2
EAPA_744/2018	ATLANTICCULTURESCAPE 4.2
EAPA_797/2018	TRAILGAZERSBID 4.2

11.4 Progress in the implementation of actions in the field of social innovation

Although social innovation is not a specific thematic of the programme, its presence is clear in the activities in several of the projects approved under the first call for proposals. In addition to that, the awareness of projects to develop activities close to the society has been increasing, not only as a way to disseminate the project, but also to promote changes in the day-to-day practices (plastics in the ocean, recycling, etc.). Some examples will be listed below.

ATLANTIC SOCIAL LAB, EAPA_246/2016 - Develop and promote social innovation approaches and methods to answer to important social issues of the Atlantic Are within citizens, third sector and social enterprises, as well as the public sector.

One of the project partners, *Communauté d'Agglomération Pau Béarn Pyrénées*, presented the "Anti Solitude Plan": the pilot action deployed within the framework of the Atlantic Social Lab project is one of these levers for success by setting up a digital tool for coordinating all the actors in the area, making

it possible to identify isolated people and promote their connection with the local offer.

Cork City Council is promoting social enterprises through support and consulting actions. The participants in this activity shared their experiences in creating, building and developing their social enterprises and, together with the facilitator, they gave each other advice on how to overcome challenges.

AYCH (Atlantic Youth Creative Hubs), EAPA_108/2016 - Build a model of social innovation for young people supporting social entrepreneurship, employment and education in the creative and cultural industries across the Atlantic Area. One of the activities developed during 2018 was the AYCHOCEAN initiative; "AYCH OCEAN" branch is promoting the defence of the marine ecosystem and the prototyping by youngsters for tackling the micro plastics. This activity is being developed through workshops in several countries to bring together experts and young people: transnational creative jams, local and regional events. In the summer of 2018, one workshop was organised with young people at the Cies Islands in Spain, collecting plastics in the island and promoting the re-use of plastics for new functionalities.

The AYCH OCEAN initiative is the result of the commitment of the AYCH partners to promote education within young Europeans in terms of values, focusing on environmental education as a basic principle of life and the protection of the Atlantic Ocean against the growing invasion of plastic waste.

This is achieved with the collaboration of two local partners of first order and who have been involved from the first moment in the development of environmental recovery strategies and commitment to the Atlantic Ocean.

CLEAN ATLANTIC, EAPA_46/2016 – This project aims to protect biodiversity and ecosystem services in the Atlantic Area by improving capabilities to monitor, prevent and remove (macro) marine litter. During 2018 the project has carried out multiple activities of awareness of the importance of eliminating plastic waste in the ocean, developing activities with children to raise awareness of the importance of reducing the consumption of plastics and the need to increase recycling.

12. FINANCIAL INFORMATION AT PRIORITY AXIS AND PROGRAMME LEVEL (ARTICLES 21(2) AND 22(7) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)

For the purpose of assessing progress towards the achievement of milestones and targets set for financial indicators in the years 2018 and 2023, table 4 of Part A of this Annex shall have the following two additional columns:

13	14
Data for the purpose of the performance review and performance framework	
Only for report submitted in 2019: Total eligible expenditure incurred and paid by beneficiaries and certified to the Commission by 31/12/2018 Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013	Only for final implementation report: Total eligible expenditure incurred and paid by beneficiaries by 31/12/2023 and certified to the Commission Article 22(7) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013
Priority 1: 695 833,08€	
Priority 2: 705 648,67€	

Priority 3:	611 543,97€	
Priority 4:	774 380,24€	
Priority 5:	2 633 955,92€	
TOTAL:	5 421 361,88€	

PART C - REPORTING SUBMITTED IN YEAR 2019 AND FINAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (ARTICLE 50(5) OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013)

13. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH

Information and assessment of the programme contribution to achieving the Union strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth.

The INTERREG Atlantic Area is highly focused on:

- Promoting entrepreneurship and innovation;
- Protecting, securing and enhancing the marine and coastal environment;
- Improving accessibility and connectivity;
- Creating a socially inclusive and sustainable model of regional development.

Through the aforementioned topics, the programme clearly contributes to achieving the Union strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth.

The projects approved until the end of 2018, in the different priorities, are focused on the objectives of smart, sustainable and inclusive growth of the regions of the Atlantic Area, for example by actively contributing to a better environment. These activities are quite important to raise awareness at the policy level and among decision makers.

Because of the application form requirements and assessment criteria, all the selected projects contribute to the EC strategy including innovative aspects from the transnational cooperation approach, namely by giving response to common challenges in an area with a high-potential for smart and sustainable growth. Due to the thematic focus of the programme, the contribution to inclusive growth is more indirect; however, the programme stresses the importance of this dimension by encouraging its incorporation in all financed activities and actions.

As most of the projects of the first call have been contractualized in 2018 (45 projects) and those of the second call have only been approved in October 2018 (27 projects), there is not enough information available at this stage to assess the programme's contribution to the smart, sustainable and inclusive growth. Nevertheless, all these projects aim at improving regional development policies that directly contribute to smart and sustainable growth.

In section 9.1 more detail was presented based on the assessment of the implementation of the projects by priority axes, all actively reinforcing the EU strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth.

14. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN — PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013)

Where the assessment of progress made with regard to the milestones and targets set out in the performance framework demonstrates that certain milestones and targets have not been achieved, Member States should outline the underlying reasons for failure to achieve these milestones in the report of 2019 (for milestones) and in the final implementation report (for targets).

Where the assessment of progress made with regard to the milestones and targets set out in the performance framework demonstrates that certain milestones and targets have not been achieved, Member States should outline the underlying reasons for failure to achieve these milestones in the report of 2019 (for milestones) and in the final implementation report (for targets).

In what concerns the performance framework, the Managing Authority (MA) and the Joint Secretariat (JS) of the Interreg Atlantic Area have applied all the efforts to comply with the milestones established in the Approved Cooperation Programme, revised version approved by the EC on 19 December 2018.

In October 2018, the programme submitted to the EC, after the approval by the MC, the revision of the cooperation programme in relation to the milestones' indicators. This request for modification was due to the recognition that two crucial hypotheses used for the computation of 2018 milestones were not accurate. This inaccuracy had a very important impact on both financial and output indicators and the correction of these hypotheses implied a strong modification of the 2018 milestones. The request was accepted by the EC in December 2018, as mentioned above.

For these milestones, the financial indicator is "Total eligible expenditure certified and submitted to EC" and the output indicators are "Number of case studies and pilot actions implemented" and "Number of policy, strategy and operational instruments produced".

Despite the substantial amount of work that resulted from the decision of the Monitoring Committee (MC) to run a second call for proposals in 2018 and the technical difficulties faced in the integrated management and information system (SIGI), the programme was able to meet the financial milestones (except for priority axis (PA) 1, where the achievement was 89%). For the physical indicators, milestones were exceeded in all PA, with the deviation being very significant for PA1. As already mentioned in section 2, this significant deviation is due to a sub estimation of these outputs, which was based on the history of the previous programmatic period.

Following the communication done by DGREGIO concerning the financial indicators included in the performance framework (14 June 2018), the MA chose to consider, in addition to payment claims submitted to the European Commission (EC) in 2018, the amounts of payment claims submitted in 2019 that correspond to the expenditure incurred and paid by beneficiaries in 2018.

In what concerns the issues affecting the performance of the programme and measures taken, details were already presented in section 5. In brief terms, and recalling the content of this section, the identified issues were: (i) human resources; (ii) the performance of the IT system; (iii) the need to put in place a second call for proposals in 2018 as a measure taken by the MC to reduce the potential impact of Brexit.

On human resources, as explained before, the Director of the JS was on medical leave for almost 7 months. The Deputy Director, who is also a project manager, replaced the Director during the absence. In order to avoid the extra burden on the team, several efforts were made by the MA to hire an additional project officer during this period to prevent imposing such an additional workload on the JS. However, it was not possible to implement this measure since the potential candidates were not interested in a short term contract, which was the only option the MA could offer due to the frequent medical certificates delivered by the Director to justify the leave: each certificate only lasted one month. The Financial Officer went on maternity leave and the MA temporarily hired a temporary Financial Officer.

The MA adopted several measures to tackle the issue related to the performance of the IT system, namely to diminish the effect of a bottleneck identified in the IT workflow of progress reports and payment claims in the beginning of 2019. The need to adapt the system to a one step procedure for the 2nd call, differently from the first call that was run in two steps, created more pressure in the SIGI.

The problem in the workflow mentioned in the previous paragraph had impact on the financial indicator of the performance framework since it was necessary to communicate to the EC the expenditures incurred and paid by beneficiaries in 2018 in order to meet the milestone. After a careful analysis of the situation, it was concluded that the resolution of this problem could not occur in the short run. Therefore, a contingency procedure was established by the MA in order to allow the reception of progress reports and payment claims for projects that have been experiencing technical difficulties. This contingency

solution was adopted in line with the Management and Control System Description.

Despite the present AIR being just focused on the implementation during 2018, it is worth mentioning that, at the time of writing the present report, a new IT company has already been selected to solve the problems with the IT system and the contract with the MA was signed on the 23rd of May 2019. The company started working immediately.